Lunatic Fringe-mediated Notch signaling is required for lung alveogenesis
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LUNAL DEVELOPMENT IS A COMPLEX process requiring coordinated interaction between epithelial and mesenchymal cell types. Ultimately, lung parenchyma is subdivided to create a structure with extremely high surface-to-volume ratio, made up of millions of alveoli. During alveogenesis, a multilayered wall of cells is transformed into a thin epithelial/capillary wall containing type II and type I cells, involved in surfactant secretion and gas exchange, respectively, as well as alveolar macrophages, fibroblasts, and elastic extracellular matrix (4, 44). Myofibroblast differentiation and mobilization within this preexisting multilayered wall is a critical step in alveolar formation (27, 44).

Notch receptors are large EGF-repeating transmembrane proteins, with cytoplasmic domains that bind to a DNA-binding protein, RBPJκ/CSL. Mammals have four Notch receptor genes (Notch1, 2, 3, and 4). A canonical Notch pathway is activated when a ligand, expressed on the surface of one cell, activates Notch on the surface of a neighboring cell (14, 29). Once activated, Notch is cleaved in a two-step process involving the γ-secretase complex. This cleavage releases a cytoplasmic domain fragment (NotchIC or Nc) that translocates into the nucleus, where it binds to an RBPJκ-containing complex, converting it from a transcriptional repressor into an activator. Thus activated Nc induces expression of genes that had been repressed by RBPJκ in the absence of Notch signaling. Notch receptor signaling is activated by Delta/Dll or Serrate/Jagged family ligands. Interestingly, a N-acetylglucosamine transferase, Fringe, was identified in Drosophila that controls which of these two ligand types activate Notch in many developmental contexts (20). Mammals have three Fringe genes: Lunatic Fringe (Ltng), Manic Fringe (Mfng), and Radical Fringe (Rfng) (5, 24). Genetic studies have identified a large number of tissues where Dll-Notch or Jagged-Notch signaling plays a critical role, and indeed fringe genes are expressed in many of these (5, 24). Despite this, very little is known about how fringes control Notch-dependent development. During somitogenesis, Ltng regulates the molecular clock by restricting Notch activation downstream of Dll ligands (13, 18, 47, 56). Similarly, Ltng facilitates Notch activation by Dll-family ligands during lymphocyte, blood vessel, and neuronal development (2, 37, 49, 50, 55).

Multiple Notch ligands and receptors are expressed in the developing lung (28, 42), and expression of most of these rise dramatically as lung parenchyma and vascular development proceed (48). A number of elegant studies have highlighted the importance of Mash1 and Hes1, Notch-regulated transcription factors, in specification of neuroendocrine cells of the neuro-epithelial body (NEB) and neighboring Clara cells (6, 23). Notch signaling has also been shown to induce secretory cell fate in the proximal lung and can suppress specification and/or differentiation of alveolar epithelial cell types when ectopically activated (7, 19, 52, 53).

Although Notch signaling has been shown to regulate development of lung epithelium and endothelium, it is not yet clear whether it controls development of pulmonary mesenchymal cell types such as myofibroblast cells, which are required for septation of developing alveoli (43). Several in vitro studies have suggested that Notch signaling may indeed regulate myofibroblast specification and/or differentiation. For
example, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)-induced differentiation of C2C12 cells into myofibroblasts was inhibited by Notch2 but enhanced by Notch3 (39), whereas TGF-β-induced differentiation of 10T1/2 cells into myofibroblasts was blocked by Notch3 (25). In addition, Fizz1/Relmα-induced differentiation of adult lung fibroblasts into myofibroblasts was dependent on Notch1 (32). Thus the effect of Notch on myofibroblast differentiation can be either stimulatory or inhibitory, depending on the cell of origin, the inducer, and the specific Notch-receptor involved. In each case, however, the effect of canonical Notch signaling on myofibroblast gene expression is most likely direct. For example, smooth muscle actin-cis-element (sma) expression can be induced through binding of a NotchIC-element (9, 34, 38, 39, 45). Here, we report that Lfng functions to enhance Notch-dependent induction of myofibroblast differentiation during embryonic lung development in the mouse.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Targeting strategy and generation of mice. Lfng was targeted in 129 embryonic stem (ES) cells using a vector containing 3 loxP sites and a Pkgs-neo cassette flanked by loxP and Flippase recognition target (FRT) sites. Two targeted clones were used to generate germline chimeric mice following injection into C57BL/6 blastocysts, and 2 independent germline transmission lines of Lfngneo+ were established. The Lfnglox allele was generated by crossing Lfngneo/+ with Rosa26-RBPJk complex to the sma promoter or repressed though binding of Hes/Hey complexes to a distinct cis-element (9, 34, 38, 39, 45). Here, we report that Lfng functions to enhance Notch-dependent induction of myofibroblast differentiation during embryonic lung development in the mouse.

Fig. 1. Generation of Lunatic Fringe (Lfng) mutant mice. A: generation of Lfng mutant alleles. The Lfng+ wild-type allele is shown at the top with numbered boxes representing exons. The Lfnglox allele contains 3 loxP sites and 2 Flippase recognition target (FRT) sites, a Pgk-neo cassette flanked by loxP and FRT sites. The Lfnglox allele, with exon 2 floxed, was generated by crossing Lfnglox/lox with Rosa26-Fip mice. The Lfnglox allele, with exon 2 deleted, was generated by crossing Lfnglox/lox with EIIa-Cre mice. The bars represent 5′ and 3′ probes for Southern blot analysis. Small and large arrowheads indicate primers for PCR genotyping and RT-PCR, respectively. B: Southern blot analysis of targeted embryonic stem (ES) cell genomic DNA digested with MphiI103 (M) and Clal (C) using 5′ probe. C: Southern blot analysis of targeted ES cell genomic DNA digested with HindIII (H) using 3′ probe. D: a representative gel of PCR genotyping for Lfng mutant mice. E: RT-PCR on total RNA from Lfng−/− and wild-type lungs. Note the Lfng−/− mutant lung expressed reduced level of a truncated transcript. CRE, Cre recombinase.
(hereafter referred to as ROSA26-rtTA) was generated by crossing ROSA26-rtTA, EGFP (neo-in) (1) to a universal Cre deleter line (pCX-nls-Cre; Ref. 35) to remove stop transcription sequences and thereby generate a line expressing rtTA in every tissue. tetO-CRE and RBPJ-KO/ H9260 mouse lines have been previously described (21, 41, 51). A multistage breeding strategy was employed to ultimately generate ROSA26-rtTA++; tetO-CRE++; RBPJ-KO/ H9260 compound mutant embryos. Mouse genotyping was performed by PCR as previously described (1, 21, 41, 51). To induce temporal deletion of RBPJ-KO in mouse embryos, DOX was administered ad libitum to pregnant RBPJ-KO/ H9260 females (bred with ROSA26-rtTA++; tetO-CRE++; RBPJ-KO/ H9260 male) by adding drug (2 mg/ml doxycycline hyclate in 5% sucrose; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) to their drinking water starting at pregnancy day 14.5; this yields effective DOX uptake by embryos via placental transfer (1). At day 18.5 of gestation (18.5 dpc), pregnant mice were euthanized, embryos harvested, and tissues procured by microdissection. The majority of DOX-exposed ROSA26-rtTA++; tetO-CRE++; RBPJ-KO/ H9260 compound mutant pups die shortly after birth. A few such mutants were euthanized at postnatal day 0 (P0) for analysis of neonatal lung development.

Primers and probes. The targeted ES clones were confirmed by Southern blot analysis using a PCR-cloned 749-bp fragment mapping 2.4 kb upstream of the start codon (5’ probe) and a PCR-cloned 643-bp fragment from 1.6 kb downstream of the stop codon (3’ probe). The genotype of Lfng mutant mice was established by PCR

Fig. 2. Abnormal lung development in Lfng mutants. A and B: representative microcomputed tomography images of pulmonary vasculature at 10 wk of age (w.o.). C: mean linear intercepts of alveolar septae measured at indicated ages. Values shown are means ± SD. *P < 0.003 and **P < 0.0005. D–O: representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained lung sections from Lfng+/+ (D, F, H, J, L, and N) and Lfng−/− (E, G, I, K, M, and O) mice at indicated ages. Note the differences between wild-type and mutant lungs starting at day 18.5 of gestation (18.5 dpc), at which point Lfng−/− lung shows less opened saccules. Postnatal Lfng−/− lungs continue to show signs of defective alveolar development, including thickened alveolar walls, lack of alveolar septation, and large alveolar size. Scale bars, 200 μm. P, postnatal day.
analysis using primers 5'-ACCTGTCTGAAGTAGAGAGTGAG-3' and 5'-AGCACGTGTAGACCCACTTGCACT-3'. Lfng<sup>−/−</sup> null status was confirmed at the mRNA level by RT-PCR using primers 5'-GGCTCTCGAGTACTTCTAC-3' and 5'-GGTCATACTCCACAGGCATTGC-3'.

Micro-CT imaging. Ten-week-old mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (50 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). Animals were then intubated orotracheally and connected to a ventilator (TOPO Pressure Controlled Ventilator; Kent Scientific) with tidal volume and respiratory rate adjusted for the weight of the animal as specified by the manufacturer. A median laparotomy was performed, and the diaphragm was removed. Flushing of blood, infusion of contrast agent, fixation of dissected specimens, and imaging by microcomputed tomography (micro-CT) was as previously described (10). Briefly, heparinized PBS (1 unit of heparin/ml) at 37°C was injected into the right ventricle of the heart and drained from the left atrium. The pulmonary circulation was then filled with a radio-opaque silicone rubber (Microfil MV-122; Flow Tech) at a constant pressure of 40 mmHg and left to polymerize for 90 min. Formalin (10%) was instilled in the trachea at a pressure of 20 mmHg, and lungs were excised and maintained in formalin at 4°C for 24 h. Micro-CT data were acquired at 20-μm resolution using a cone beam X-ray scanning system (eXplore Locus SP; General Electric). Seven hundred and twenty views were acquired through a 360° rotation in 2 h with an X-ray tube current of 80 μA and voltage of 80 kVp. The circulation of the lung was visualized as an isointensity surface corresponding to a threshold of ~2,000 Hounsfield units.

Tissue preparation, histology, and mean linear intercept. Lung tissues were collected at designated ages, fixed overnight in 10% buffered neutral formalin or 4% paraformaldehyde, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin according to standard procedures. Sections (5 μm thick) were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. To measure mean linear intercept (12), slide images were digitally captured, and eight horizontal and six vertical lines were laid over each image. The intercepts of alveolar walls with these lines were counted, and the mean linear intercept was calculated as the sum of the line lengths divided by the sum of the number of intercepts. At least five histological fields from different lobes of each animal and at least two animals of each genotype at each time point were analyzed. All data

Fig. 3. Immunohistochemical analysis of major cell types in the distal lung at 17.5 dpc. A–L: representative photomicrographs of immunostained lung sections at 17.5 dpc with anti-β6-integrin (A and B), anti-surfactant protein C (SP-C; C and D), anti-CD31 (E and F), anti-smooth muscle actin-α (sma; G and H), anti-Ki67 (I and J), and anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibodies (K and L). Scale bars, 50 μm. M: Western blot analysis of β6-integrin in lung tissues from Lfng<sup>−/−</sup> and Lfng<sup>+/−</sup> mice at 17.5 dpc with β-actin as loading control. N: Western blot analysis of aquaporin 5 in lung tissues at 17.5 dpc and P5. Arrow indicates mature form of aquaporin 5. O: quantification of immunostaining from 17.5-dpc lung sections. Shown are percentages of SP-C<sup>+</sup> cells, Ki67<sup>+</sup> cells, and cleaved caspase-3<sup>+</sup> cells normalized to the total number of distal lung cells within each image (excluding bronchiolar airways and blood vessels). Data are derived from 2 sections in each of 3 Lfng<sup>−/−</sup> and 3 Lfng<sup>+/−</sup> animals, respectively. Student’s t-test was performed to assess significance.
are presented as means ± SD. When two groups were compared, Student’s t-test was used.

β-Gal staining. For β-galactosidase (β-gal) staining, lung tissues were fixed in 2.7% formaldehyde-0.02% Nonidet P-40 in PBS overnight, washed, and infused with 30% sucrose. Tissues were then embedded in optimum cutting temperature compound (OCT), sectioned at 10μm, and stained with X-gal from 3 h to overnight. All sections were counterstained with eosin.

Immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis. Lung tissues were prepared as above and stained according to standard protocols. Staining was performed on two sections from each of at least two animals. Presented in the figures are representative photomicrographs. The following antibodies were used: sma (1:200; cat. no. RDI-ACTINabm-A4; Fitzgerald Industries, RDI Division); smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (1:100; cat. no. BT-562; Biomedical Technologies); CD31 (1:50; cat. no. ab28364; Abcam); β-actin (1:100; cat. no. sc-338; Santa Cruz Biotechnology); surfactant protein C (SP-C; 1:200; cat. no. sc-13979; Santa Cruz Biotechnology); and Mash1 (1:50; cat. no. 556604; BD Biosciences). The primary antibodies used for Western blots were: sma (1:400); β3-integrin (1:1,000); aquaporin 5 (1:200; cat. no. LS-C40/AN-01; Lifespan Biosciences); elastin (1:100; cat. no. sc-17580; Santa Cruz Biotechnology); and β-actin (1:5,000; cat. no. ab8226; Abcam). Western blot analyses were repeated on multiple blots with similar results.

RESULTS

Alveolar developmental defect in Lfng mutants. We generated Lfng loss-of-function mutants and backcrossed them to FVB mice for six generations. The specific mutation made deletes exon 2, causing a frame shift that destabilizes the resulting mutant mRNA (Fig. 1, A–E). In homozygous animals, this mutation results in a fully penetrant rib patterning defect and short-tailed phenotype as seen with other Lfng mutant alleles (13, 56). These defects are associated with somitogenesis patterning alterations during embryogenesis (13, 56). As adults, our FVB Lfng mutants showed a dramatic defect in lung structure (Fig. 2, A and B), with altered patterning and reduced vascular branching. To determine how this defect arose, we analyzed late embryonic and postnatal lung development in mutant mice. Before 14.5 dpc, Lfng mutant lungs appeared normal. However, a delay in saccule expansion was evident starting at ~16.5 dpc. This was followed by defective alveolar septation. This defect persisted in older animals (Fig. 2, C–O).

To determine how loss of Lfng caused aberrant alveolar development, we tested for expression of cell type-specific markers.
by immunohistochemistry. Before 16.5 dpc, Lfng mutant and wild-type lungs were indistinguishable. In contrast, β6-integrin, which is induced in wild-type saccular epithelial cells at 17.5 dpc (3), was expressed at lower levels in mutants at this stage (Fig. 3, A, B, and M). SP-C, a marker of type II alveolar epithelial cells, was expressed in a slightly (but significantly) increased number of distal lung cells (Fig. 3, C, D, and O), and the type I alveolar cell marker aquaporin 5 was expressed at lower levels in Lfng mutants (data not shown and Fig. 3; Ref. 15). Aquaporin 5 runs on Western blots as a doublet at 17.5 dpc, whereas the mature form was expressed at much lower levels in mutant lungs (Fig. 3; Ref. 15). The Clara cell marker CC10 also appeared normal by anti-CD31 antibodies staining (Fig. 3, L and H). Blood vessel development along the alveolar wall in Lfng mutants (Fig. 4, E and F). We next tested for expression of sma, a myofibroblast differentiation marker. At 17.5 dpc, sma is expressed in myofibroblasts of wild-type animals but not in Lfng mutant mesenchyme (Fig. 3, G and H). Finally, the rate of cell proliferation and cell death appeared to be similar in mutant and wild-type lungs at 17.5 dpc (Fig. 3, I–L and O). To analyze cell type specification and differentiation in early postnatal life, we performed marker analysis at P5. Expression pattern of T1α, a marker of type I alveolar epithelial cells, was unchanged in the Lfng mutant (Fig. 4, A and B). In addition, mature aquaporin 5 was expressed at approximately similar levels in wild-type and mutant animals at this time point, further indicating that differentiation of alveolar type I cells is only slightly delayed in Lfng mutants (Fig. 3; Ref. 6). In contrast to 17.5 dpc, where the percentage of SP-C+ cells was higher in Lfng mutant lungs, the percentage was similar at P5 (Fig. 4, C, D, and M), indicating normal specification of type II alveolar epithelial cells in the Lfng mutant by this stage. Anti-CD31 immunostaining revealed decreased spreading of capillaries along the alveolar wall in Lfng mutants (Fig. 4, E and F), although significant background staining at this time point made it difficult to quantify this effect. As at 17.5 dpc, the number of sma+ myofibroblast cells in mutant lungs was reduced at P5 (Fig. 4, G and H). Finally, the rate of cell proliferation and cell death were the same in wild-type and mutant lungs at P5 (Fig. 4, I–L and M).

Myofibroblast differentiation is impaired in Lfng mutant lungs. As the most dramatic defect observed in Lfng mutant lungs involved sma induction in the mesenchyme, we analyzed lung myofibroblast differentiation over time. As expected, mesenchymal sma staining was not seen in wild-type or Lfng mutant lungs.

**Fig. 5.** Defective myofibroblast cell differentiation in Lfng mutant lungs. A–H: representative immunostaining of lung sections with anti-sma antibody at 15.5 dpc (A and B), 18.5 dpc (C and D), P2 (E and F), and P9 (G and H). I: Western blot analysis of sma in lung tissues from Lfng+/+ and Lfng−/− mice with β-actin as loading control. J: M: representative immunostaining of lung sections with anti-smooth muscle myosin heavy chain antibody at 17.5 dpc (J and K) and P5 (L and M). N–Q: representative immunostaining of lung sections with anti-PDGF receptor-α (PDGFRα) antibody at 15.5 dpc (N and O) and 18.5 dpc (P and Q). Arrows in C, E, G, J, and L indicate myofibroblast cells located at the growing septae. Arrows in N and O point at clusters of PDGFRα-positive cells surrounding the distal bronchiolar Airways. Arrows in P and Q point at PDGFRα-positive cells migrated to alveolar walls. Scale bars, 50 µm.
at 15.5 dpc (Fig. 5, A and B). As noted above, sma expression was observed in wild-type but not mutant mesenchyme at 17.5 dpc (Fig. 3, G and H) and at P5 (Fig. 4, G and H). Smo staining was still evident in smooth muscle cells surrounding the large airways and blood vessels in mutant lungs at each of these time points. At P2 and P9, sma staining was found in myofibroblast cells that had migrated to branch or septation points in the developing alveoli of wild-type lungs (Fig. 5, E and G). In contrast, sma was expressed at a low level in a small number of Lfn g mutant mesenchymal cells at both time points. Most of these were trapped within multilayered walls separating large alveoli (Fig. 5, F and H). By Western blot analysis, overall expression of sma was not significantly changed (Fig. 5J). This was likely due to high-level sma expression in smooth muscle cells surrounding the large airways and blood vessels of wild-type and mutant mice.

We next stained for myofibroblast differentiation using antibodies against smooth muscle myosin heavy chain. As with sma, this protein is expressed in smooth muscle cells surrounding the large airways and blood vessels in wild-type and mutant lungs and in myofibroblasts at the branch points in the distal lungs of wild-type animals at 17.5 dpc and P5 (Fig. 5, J and L). In contrast, only low-level smooth muscle myosin heavy chain expression was observed in a small number of Lfn g mutant mesenchymal cells at these time points (Fig. 5, K and M). Myofibroblast progenitor cells in the developing distal lung require PDGFRα (31). This receptor was expressed similarly in wild-type and mutant lungs during late embryogenesis, indicating that differentiation but not specification or spreading of myofibroblast progenitors is affected in Lfn g−/− lungs (Fig. 5, N–Q).

Myofibroblasts express and deposit elastin, which is critical for alveogenesis and lung function (4). We therefore analyzed elastin expression and deposition in wild-type and mutant animals using Verhoeff stain. At P5, a small number of elastic fibers were noted in both wild-type and mutant lungs (Fig. 6, A and B). By P14 and at 6 wk of age, elastin is strongly expressed and highly localized to alveolar walls in wild-type animals (Fig. 6, C and E). In contrast, elastin deposition is altered in Lfn g mutants. At P14, few elastic fibers were evident in mutant lungs (Fig. 6D), and by 6 wk of age, accumulation was noted in mesenchymal cells trapped within multilayered walls (Fig. 6F). Altered elastin deposition was associated with slightly lower expression in mutants at P9 but elevated expression at P42 (Fig. 6G). Next, we used Masson trichrome stain to detect collagen fibers. In 6-wk-old wild-type lungs, thin collagen fibers were localized to within alveolar walls as expected (Fig. 6H). In contrast, Lfn g mutant lungs at 6 wk contained large collagenous deposits/fibers in most alveolar walls (Fig. 6I). These alterations were not associated with increased apoptosis in mutant lungs (data not shown).

Lfn g is expressed in PNEC and alveolar progenitor cells. Lfn g and other Notch pathway components are expressed in the developing mouse lung (42, 54). To determine precisely when and where Lfn g was expressed, we used Lfn g lacZ reporter mice (56). At 16.5 and 18.5 dpc, Lfn g was expressed in the distal lung, including sacculi cells (54) and cells within the mesenchyme (Fig. 7, A–C). Cells expressing the highest level were found within the NBE. These and isolated cells with strong X-gal staining were identified as PNEC, as they stained positive for X-gal and Mash1 (Fig. 7D). Expression within the

---

Fig. 6. Altered elastogenesis and collagen deposition in Lfn g mutant lungs. A–F: representative photomicrographs showing Verhoeff staining of lung sections at P5 (A and B), P14 (C and D), and P42 (E and F). Arrows in C and E point at continuous elastic fibers (stained in dark brown) in wild-type lungs. Arrowheads in D and F indicate accumulation of disorganized elastic fibers in Lfn g−/− mutant lungs. G: Western blot analysis of elastin in lung tissues from Lfn g+/+ and Lfn g−/− mice. H and I: representative photomicrographs of Masson trichrome staining in P42 lung sections showing increased deposition of collagen in Lfn g−/− mutant (stained in green, arrowhead in I). This increased deposition was observed in most areas of mutant lungs. Scale bars, 50 μm.
NEB was observable in Lfng/lacZ+ mice (data not shown), whereas staining in other cells of the distal lung was consistently observed only in Lfng/lacZ+ homozygotes. At P5, Lfng/lacZ was still expressed in NEBs but was also seen in endothelial cells of large pulmonary veins (Fig. 7E and data not shown).

We next tested for Notch ligand and receptor expression. In Dll1/lacZ mice (22), LacZ is expressed in PNEC throughout lung development (Ref. 42; Fig. 7, F and G) and also in vascular endothelial cells after P5 (Ref. 42 and data not shown). Expression of Lfng and Dll1 in PNEC is not surprising, since both genes are induced by Mash1 (16, 40). In Dll4/lacZ mice at 17.5 dpc, X-gal-positive cells were found in endothelial cells scattered throughout the mesenchyme and in PNEC (Fig. 7H; Ref. 11). Next, to define Jagged1 expression, we made Jagged1+/geo+ reporter mice using a publically available ES cell line obtained in a screen to target genes encoding membrane-anchored or -secreted proteins (33). The specific ES line used has a transmembrane-anchored β-geo gene fused in-frame with sequences coding for the first 13 EGF repeats of Jagged1 (Fig. 8A). In this mouse, LacZ staining was noted in pulmonary veins and arteries throughout development, in mesenchymal cells during early embryonic lung development, and in bronchiolar epithelial cells starting at ~16.5 dpc (Fig. 7, I and J). Bronchiolar epithelial expression was notably interrupted at the NEB, where PNEC and variant Clara cells were clearly LacZ- (see arrow in Fig. 7J). By in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry, strong Notch1 expression was noted at 14.5 and 16.5 dpc in distal endoderm and in bronchiolar cells, respectively (data not shown and Ref. 23). Finally, we used ES cells from the same gene trap screen to generate Notch2 and Notch3 reporter mice, Notch2β-geo+ and Notch3β-geo+, respectively (Ref. 33; Fig. 8, B and C). X-gal staining for both genes was observed in lung mesenchyme and airway epithelium at 17.5 dpc, and this expression persisted to P5 and beyond (Fig. 7, K and L, and data not shown). As expression of both receptors was strong, and in many cell types, it was difficult to exclude expression in any specific lineage of the distal lung.

Altered myofibroblast differentiation is associated with loss of Notch signaling. As noted above, Notch2 and Notch3 are expressed in multiple cell types of the distal lung during saccule and alveolar development, and these genes are known to control myofibroblast differentiation in vitro (25, 39). We next analyzed lungs of Notch2β-geo+/–, Notch3β-geo+/–, and Notch3β-geo+β-geo+ double-mutant mice at 17.5 dpc to test for myofibroblast differentiation using sma as a marker. As in wild-type mice, myofibroblast cells were clearly present in the mesenchyme of Notch2β-geo+/– and Notch3β-geo+β-geo+ mutant lungs at this time point. In contrast, mesenchymal sma staining was either absent or reduced in Notch2β-geo+/– and Notch3β-geo+β-geo+ double-mutant lungs at 17.5 dpc (Fig. 9, A–C). In contrast to the situation in Lfng mutant mice, we did not detect any delay in distal lung epithelial differentiation in Notch2β-geo+ and Notch3β-geo+β-geo+ double-mutant mice (data not shown). Whereas most of the Notch2β-geo+/–Notch3β-geo+β-geo+ mutants died shortly after birth, altered alveolar development was observed in three of the six compound mutant mice that survived weaning (Fig. 9, D–F).

![Image](http://ajplung.physiology.org/Downloadedfromhttp://ajplung.physiology.org/)
These data suggest that Notch2 and Notch3 may function redundantly to control myofibroblast differentiation in the developing lung mesenchyme. Indeed, as noted above, various Notch receptors have been shown to regulate myofibroblast differentiation in vitro or during induction of lung fibrosis in vivo, although the specific receptor involved, as well as whether Notch signaling promoted or suppressed sma expression, was dependent on the starting cell type and the inducer (25, 32, 39). Therefore, to directly test whether canonical Notch signaling is required for myofibroblast differentiation in the developing lung, we used \( \text{RBPJ}^{\text{flx/flx}} \) mutant mice with the DOX-inducible \( \text{ROSA26}\)-rtTA/\( \text{tetO}\)-CRE/deletion system to inactivate \( \text{RBPJ}^{\text{flx/flx}} \) starting at 14.5 dpc. Deletion at this time completely blocked the appearance of sma myofibroblasts by 18.5 dpc (Fig. 9, \( G \) and \( H \)) while having no apparent effect on the development of SP-C\(^+\) alveolar epithelial cells (Fig. 9, \( I \) and \( J \)) or smooth muscle cells surrounding the major airways and blood vessels (Fig. 9, \( G \) and \( H \)). Although DOX-treated \( \text{RBPJ}^{\text{flx/flx}} \) conditional mutants typically died shortly after birth, reduced sma staining was also observed in mutant pups at P0 with morphologically normal lungs (Fig. 9, \( K \) and \( L \)). In contrast, SP-C and T1\( \alpha \) staining was unchanged in these pups (Fig. 9, \( M–P \)).

**DISCUSSION**

The lung is a highly specialized organ that facilitates rapid and efficient oxygenation of blood. This is achieved at the interface of alveoli and microcapillaries, each organized in a complex labyrinth of interconnected thin-walled sacs and tubes (4). During fetal and postnatal development, these structures are formed through septation and growth of saccules as well as through simultaneous branching and growth of blood vessels. These events must occur in a highly coordinated manner and under conditions where the lung is subjected to elastic forces associated with breathing. Notch signaling regulates lung epithelial cell fate (6, 19, 23, 28, 46, 52, 53) and blood vessel patterning (2, 17). In addition, Notch signaling has been shown to regulate myofibroblast differentiation in vitro (25, 32, 39) and myofibroblast induction during fibrosis in vivo (32). Here,
we report that alveolar development is impaired in Lfng mutant mice, starting in the saccule phase of fetal lung development, with impaired differentiation of myofibroblasts, accompanied by a modest delay of type I alveolar epithelial cell differentiation. Lfng, like all Fringe proteins, enhances Notch receptor activation by Delta/Dll ligands and suppresses activation by Serrate/Jagged ligands (20). In this context, therefore, Lfng could either be enhancing or suppressing Notch activation.

We have approached this question in two ways. First, Notch2 and Notch3 are both highly expressed during saccule epithelial cell development. Lfng and Notch3 are both highly expressed during saccular epithelial cell development. Lfng functions within myofibroblast progenitor cells to enhance activation of Notch2 and Notch3 by Delta-like family ligands. In this case, Dll4 expressed in endothelial cells of the distal lung would be the strongest candidate ligand. To address this model directly, lineage-specific deletion of Lfng, Notch2, and/or Notch3, as well as Dll4 (if feasible) will be required.

Although myofibroblast cell differentiation was impaired in Lfng mutant mice, this was not the only lung phenotype observed. We also found a small but reproducible delay in saccule epithelial cell development that appeared to correct itself by birth. This delay may be related to low-level expression of Lfng in saccular cells, which could enhance or suppress Notch signaling in developing alveolar type II or type I cells late in embryogenesis and early in postnatal life. Alternatively, Lfng may not function within saccular or alveolar epithelial progenitor cells, and delayed differentiation of these cells may...
be secondary to impaired differentiation of myofibroblasts or other mesenchymal cell types (8). Indeed, previous studies suggest that Notch signaling is not required for specification of alveolar epithelial cells (55). Lfng could also function in saccular cells to prevent Notch activation by Jagged ligands. In this case, ectopic Notch activation may well occur in Lfng mutant saccular cells, and such signaling is known to block alveolar epithelial differentiation (7, 19). Finally, we have described a Notch expression boundary at the NEB, where DI1 and DI4 are expressed in PNEC cells. Jagged1 is expressed in neighboring epithelial cells, and Notch receptors are expressed in multiple cell types. The NEB and related bronchoalveolar junctions are thought to represent stem cell niches (26) as well in multiple cell types. The NEB and related bronchoalveolar junctions are thought to represent stem cell niches (26) as well as signaling centers that control distal lung development (36). Although Lfng mutation does not affect specification of PNEC as judged by expression of Mash1 and CGRP, by analogy to its role in central nervous system development, it may affect stem cell differentiation kinetics in this context (37). Conditional mutant analysis will be required to probe for such functions. In summary, we have shown that Lfng-mediated Notch signaling is required for timely differentiation of myofibroblasts in the lung and that a delay in this event caused by loss of Lfng or Notch2/3 signaling results in defective alveolar septation and elastogenesis.
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