Ethical Policies and Procedures

**Authorship.** The Editors of the journals of the American Physiological Society (APS) expect each author to have made an important scientific contribution to the study and to be thoroughly familiar with the original data. The author(s) of a technical report of IBM, the preliminary reports of MIT, the technical reports of AT&T Bell Labs, and the preliminary reports of IBM, the preliminary reports of MIT, the institute reports of the US Army, or the internal reports of NASA.

Doctoral dissertations that are made available by UMI/Proquest or institutional repositories are not considered prior publication. Data portions of submitted papers that have appeared on a website will be permitted, with the proviso that the author inform the Editor at the time of the submission that such material exists so that the Editor can determine the suitability of such material for publication. Failure to do so will result in an automatic rejection of the manuscript. Examples of such work include, but are not limited to, immunofluorescence micrographs and/or animated gif/video files posted on a website, or NIH-mandated posting of DNA microarray data. After the article is published in an APS journal, the data should be removed from the author’s website.

Authors with concerns about possible prior publication that does not fall clearly into one of these categories should contact the Director of Publications and forward the material for examination.

Authors submitting to the Journal of Neurophysiology (JN) may submit papers that have been previously posted to preprint servers and other non-peer-reviewed websites. Once you have submitted your manuscript to JN, we ask that you not subsequently post this manuscript, or a revised version of it, to a preprint server. However, if your manuscript receives a final decision at JN and if you withdraw it from editorial consideration at JN, this restriction is then lifted. Authors submitting manuscripts to preprint servers must be sure to retain the copyright to their work, which can then be transferred to the publisher when a later version of the work is accepted at a traditional peer-reviewed journal (this is standard at arXiv and Nature Precedings). Questions about whether a particular preprint server venue is allowed under this rule should be addressed to the JN Editor in Chief, David Linden at dlinden@jhu.edu. Authors will be asked at submission to disclose whether their manuscript has been posted to a preprint server, to identify the preprint server, and to provide a file of the most recent version of the posting and the DOI or a working link to it. This is a trial exception to APS policy that applies to submissions to the Journal of Neurophysiology through March 14, 2013 and is subject to change thereafter.

**Experiments Involving Animals or Humans.** Authors using humans, animals, or fetal tissue in their experiments should refer to the APS policies on those subjects: Guiding Principles for Research Involving Animals and Human Beings; and the Policy on the Publication of Research on Human Fetuses, Fetal Tissue, Embryos, and Embryonic Cells.

**Ethical Procedure.** APS reviewers have a responsibility to report suspected duplicate publication, fraud, plagiarism, or concerns about animal or human experimentation to the journal Editor. A reviewer who reports that he/she is refereeing, or has recently refereed, a similar or identical paper to APS policy that applies to submissions to the Journal of Neurophysiology, the APS has violated the copyright of another journal, the matter is referred to the Publications Committee. After deliberation, the Editor is to inform the Publications Committee Chair through an issue-specific standard practice as summarized below. The first action of the journal Editor is to inform the Publications Committee Chair through the Director of Publications by supplying copies of 1) the relevant material and 2) a draft letter to the corresponding author asking for an explanation in a nonjudgmental manner. The Publications Committee Chair must approve any correspondence before it is sent to the author. If the author’s explanation is unacceptable and it seems that serious unethical conduct has taken place, the matter is referred to the APS Editors. After deliberation, the Committee will decide whether the case is sufficiently serious to warrant a ban on future submissions to, and serving as a reviewer for, APS journals and/or if the offending author’s institution should be informed. The decision has to be approved by the Executive Cabinet of the APS Council, and the author has the right to appeal a sanction, with the opportunity to present his/her position, to the Publications Committee and the full APS Council.

If the infraction is less severe, the Editor, upon the advice of the Publications Chair, sends the author a letter of reprimand and reminds the author of APS publication policies; if the manuscript has been published, the Editor may require the author to publish an apology in the journal to correct the record. If, through the author’s actions, APS has violated the copyright of another journal, the Publications Chair writes a letter of apology to the other journal.

In serious cases of fraud that result in retraction of the article, a retraction notice will be published in the journal and will be linked to the article in the online version. The online version will also be marked “retracted” with the retraction date. Updated Spring 2011.
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