Reduction of eotaxin production and eosinophil recruitment by pulmonary autologous macrophage transfer in a cockroach allergen-induced asthma model
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Beal DR, Stepien DM, Natarajan S, Kim J, Remick DG. Reduction of eotaxin production and eosinophil recruitment by pulmonary autologous macrophage transfer in a cockroach allergen-induced asthma model. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 305: L866–L877, 2013. First published September 27, 2013; doi:10.1152/ajplung.00120.2013.—We sought to investigate the effects of cockroach allergen (CRA) exposure on the lung macrophage population to determine how different macrophage phenotypes influence exacerbation of disease. CRA exposure caused significantly reduced expression of CD86 on lung macrophages. These effects were not systemic, as peritoneal macrophage CD86 expression was not altered. To investigate whether naïve macrophages could reduce asthma-like pulmonary inflammation, autologous peritoneal macrophages were instilled into the airways 24 h before the final CRA challenge. Pulmonary inflammation was assessed by measurement of airway hyperresponsiveness, mucin production, inflammatory cell recruitment, and cytokine production. Cell transfer did not have significant effects in control mice, nor did it affect pulmonary mucin production or airway hyperresponsiveness in control or CRA-exposed mice. However, there was significant reduction in the number of eosinophils recovered in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) (5.8 × 10^3 vs. 0.88 × 10^3), and total cell recruitment to the airways of CRA-exposed mice was markedly reduced (1.1 × 10^6 vs. 0.57 × 10^6). The reduced eosinophil recruitment was reflected by substantially lower levels of eotaxin peroxidase in the lung and significantly lower concentrations of eotaxins in BAL (eotaxin 1: 3 pg/ml vs. undetectable; eotaxin 2: 2.383 vs. 131 pg/ml) and lung homogenate (eotaxin 1: 1,043 vs. 218 pg/ml; eotaxin 2: 10 vs. 1.5 ng/ml). We conclude that CRA decreases lung macrophage CD86 expression. Furthermore, supplementation of the lung cell population with peritoneal macrophages inhibits eosinophil recruitment, achieved through reduction of eotaxin production. These data demonstrate that transfer of naïve macrophages will reduce some aspects of asthma-like pulmonary inflammation in response to CRA.

Asthma is one of the most common chronic inflammatory diseases in the world. It is characterized as reversible airway obstruction, inflammation, and hyperresponsiveness (AHR) (4). According to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), there are ~25 million asthma sufferers in the US alone, resulting in more than 3,000 deaths each year. Asthma-related health care costs are estimated at over $50 billion annually, and the prevalence is rising (33), demonstrating that asthma is a major public health problem.

The most widely used murine models for asthma use ovalbumin (OVA) as the sensitizing agent. These models typically use inbred or genetically modified mouse strains and require coadministration of adjuvants (usually alum) along with frequent high-dose exposures to achieve an asthma-like phenotype (25, 63). A more relevant model uses outbred mice exposed to cockroach allergen (CRA; a total body extract of the German cockroach Blatella Germanica) at a dose in the order of one hundred times lower than that used in many OVA models (29, 37, 59), including those that use a similar intratracheal (IT) instillation technique as used in this study (24). In the present model, all three exposures are via the physiologically relevant IT route, and, because CRA contains endogenous TLR ligands, such as LPS and chitin, there was no need for additional adjuvants. This, in addition to the fact that a significantly high percentage of children with asthma have a positive skin test reaction to CRA (49), makes a strong case for the relevance of this model in the study of human disease.

Many different types of immune cells have been implicated in asthma pathogenesis, including macrophages, eosinophils, lymphocytes, and mast cells (16). T cells and Th2-associated cytokines have been shown to play critical roles, as T cell-deficient mice do not develop lung inflammation and AHR (7), and the Th2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-13 are involved in many of the key features of asthma (60). In particular, IL-4Rα (which is required for both IL-4- and IL-13-mediated signaling) expression on macrophages, has a strong correlation with the severity of asthma parameters in an OVA model, including eosinophil influx (9). IL-4/13 stimulation of different types of cells (36, 53), including macrophages (58), has been shown to induce production of eotaxins 1 and 2, which are among the most important eosinophil chemoattractants (6, 18, 45).

Macrophages themselves are the most prevalent immune cell found in normal lung tissue and are involved in the functioning of both the innate and adaptive immune responses, forming an important bridge between the two (15, 30, 46). Several studies have shown that macrophages are involved in asthma disease progression, and their phenotype can be skewed toward an alternative activation pathway by IL-4 and IL-13, in contrast to the classical activation pathway induced by IFN-γ and LPS, among others (12). In addition, alternatively activated macrophages can themselves become potent sources of IL-4 (28, 48) and IL-13 (14, 22), thus providing a setting for chronic disease.

There is currently a lack of information on the effects of CRA exposure on the lung macrophage population. Based on the knowledge that, in our model, CRA induces significant pulmonary eosinophil infiltration, and that macrophage phenotypes are involved in recruitment of eosinophils during certain types of inflammation, the current study investigated the contribution of CRA-induced macrophage phenotypes in the exacerbation of disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Age-matched (9–12 wk old) female outbred HSD:ICR mice (Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN) were used in all experiments. Mice were housed in a dedicated temperature- and humidity-
controlled room with 12-h:12-h light/dark cycles and had free access to food and water. All experimental protocols adhered to NIH guidelines and were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Boston University.

Allergen sensitization. Lyophilized CRA was supplied by Greer Laboratories (Lenoir, NC) and diluted in an appropriate volume of sterile Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS). Mice were sensitized on day 0 with an IT instillation (as previously described; Ref. 56) of 50 μl containing CRA diluted 1:2 in sterile HBSS. Challenges on days 14 and 21 were carried out in exactly the same manner using a 1:4 dilution. In total, each mouse received ~4 μg Blag1 and 2, and 1.2 μg LPS over the time course of the model. Control mice received 50 μl of sterile HBSS on equivalent days. The two groups of mice are referred to as HBSSx3 and CRAx3.

AHR. Mice were placed in unrestrained whole body plethysmography chambers (Buxco Systems, Troy, NY) and allowed to acclimate. Baseline respiratory parameters were recorded for 5 min, before 2 min of exposure to aerosolized PBS or either 25 or 50 mg/ml methacholine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), followed by a 5-min recording period, as previously described (54).

Sample collection. Mice were killed at either 4 or 24 h following the final CRA challenge on day 21. The trachea was cannulated, and lungs were washed with 0.5 ml warm sterile HBSS multiple times for a total volume of 5 ml. The first 1 ml of fluid was centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 min, and the cell-free supernatant was collected and retained for cytokine analysis. A Beckman-Coulter ZF particle counter (Coultier Electronics, Hialeah, FL) was used to obtain total cell counts. Then, 100,000 cells were spun onto a slide and stained with Diff-Quik (Coulter Electronics, Hialeah, FL) was used to obtain total cell counts.

5.02 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Data are presented as the mean ± SE (unless otherwise stated), with statistically significant differences between groups determined by unpaired Student’s t-test yielding a P value of <0.05 at the 95% confidence interval. Multiple groups were compared by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s posttest used to compare to the control group, where applicable.

RESULTS

Our mouse model of CRA-induced asthma is well established and has been previously described (54–56). Mice were sensitized to CRA on day 0, followed by two challenges on days 14 and 21, as shown in Fig. 1A. Mice were killed either before the final exposure on day 21, or at 4, 16, or 24 h following the final CRA exposure. Following death, the lungs were lavaged, and the cell infiltrate was assessed. As anticipated based on prior work (54–56), there was a significant increase in the total number of cells recovered in the BAL of CRAx3 mice, compared with age-matched mice that received HBSS only in place of CRA (the HBSSx3 group). Differential counts of major cell subtypes revealed significant increases in the number of neutrophils, lymphocytes, and eosinophils 24 h after the final CRA exposure (Fig. 1, B and C). These data confirm that our model of CRA exposure elicits a strong pulmonary inflammatory response characterized by neutrophil and, in particular, eosinophil recruitment.

To further investigate the immune response, cells from the whole lung were also assessed. Lungs were enzymatically digested, and the cell populations were identified by microscopic inspection and flow cytometry. Figure 2A shows a typical lung digest (LD) preparation, demonstrating that cells remain intact following digestion, and Trypan blue staining revealed that over 80% of the cells were viable (data not shown). Figure 2B shows a representative forward/side scatter plot with readily identifiable populations of lymphocytes, granulocytes, etc. Figure 2C shows F4/80 expression used to identify macrophages; this strategy was used because it had
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good agreement with differential counts of LDs as assessed by light microscopy, shown in Fig. 2D. Macrophages could be further divided into two phenotypically distinct subsets based on their surface expression of CD80 and CD86, and it was found that macrophages from CRAx3 mice had lower expression levels of these two surface markers when compared with HBSSx3 mice (Fig. 2, E and F).

Macrophages are rapidly recruited to sites of inflammation, and their function can be readily manipulated by their environment. Therefore, the CD80 and CD86 change in lung macrophages over the 22-day time course of the model was assessed by flow cytometry (as depicted in Fig. 3). Mice were killed either immediately before or 24 h after each CRA exposure. Twenty-four hours after CRA instillation, there was a significant increase in the total number of cells, as well as the total number of macrophages (Fig. 3, A and B). To more fully determine the nature of the influence CRA exposure had over the macrophage phenotypes, surface expression of CD80 and CD86 were measured. These two molecules have important roles in the generation of adaptive immune responses (31, 57), but in this study their expression levels were assessed as markers of phenotypic change. In conjunction with well-established markers of the M2 phenotype such as Ym1 and Fizz1 (40), reduction in expression levels of CD80 and CD86 has been previously used in other studies as a marker of a reduction in M1 macrophage characteristics (19, 35, 39).

Levels of expression were assessed by both the proportion of macrophages expressing the molecule on their surface (% positive) and the relative number of molecules expressed per macrophage (mean fluorescence intensity). Different patterns were seen for CD80 and CD86. Although no significant differences in CD80 expression were seen (Fig. 3, C and D), it was observed that, 24 h following every CRA challenge, there were reductions in the expression of CD86 on lung macrophages, particularly the last two challenges on days 14 and 21 (Fig. 3, E and F). This reduction was present as early as 4 h after exposure and remained at a reduced level up to 24 h after exposure (Fig. 3, G and H), demonstrating that the observed reduction at 24 h is not a compensatory late event. The expression levels before subsequent CRA challenges are not significantly different from those seen in HBSS-treated mice, indicating that the observed effect is temporary and reversible. These data show that CRA exposure has a significant effect on the lung macrophage population, as assessed by their surface expression levels of CD80 and CD86. Studies by other groups using these molecules as M1 markers (19, 35, 39) suggest that our observations represent genuine phenotypic changes. This
provides evidence suggesting a role for macrophage phenotypes in the pathogenesis seen in our model.

We sought to determine whether the observed CRA-induced response was limited to the lungs or was systemic. Assessment of the peritoneal cavity cell population, using the same methodology as used for the lungs, revealed that there were no discernible differences between HBSSx3 and CRAx3 mice with regard to number of cells recovered, differential counts (Fig. 4, A and B), or macrophage surface expression of CD80 and CD86 (Fig. 4C). Based on these results, we concluded that the peritoneal cells remained at a state equivalent to that seen in HBSSx3 mice, unaffected by pulmonary CRA exposure throughout the 22-day time course of the model.

Because the model uses outbred mice to more accurately simulate the human population, we are faced with challenges not present when using inbred strains. Notably, the use of adoptive transfer techniques to assess the contribution of macrophage populations cannot be performed due to the potential confounding factor of incompatible major histocompatibility complex expression between the donor and recipient. However, as shown in Fig. 4, the peritoneal cells have the potential to be used in an autologous transfer because, unlike pulmonary macrophages, their quantity and phenotype (as measured by levels of CD80 and CD86 expression) have not been altered by the CRA-induced lung inflammation. Also, as shown in Fig. 4B, a live PW yields a substantial number of cells (~6 million) that are ~90% macrophages, and therefore these cells can be used in an adoptive transfer with minimal manipulation that might otherwise affect the activation status of the macrophages, i.e., cell purification and ex vivo culture.

We sought to determine whether peritoneal macrophages could be employed to alter the lung immune response in CRA-exposed mice. Therefore, we developed an assay to measure cytokine production by cells exposed to CRA in culture. A single-cell suspension, obtained from enzymatically digested whole lungs, was cultured at a concentration of 1 x 10^6 cells/ml in the presence of CRA for 72 h. Cytokine concentrations in the cell-free supernatant were measured by ELISA.

As macrophages can be proinflammatory, we measured the concentrations of IL-6 and TNF-α, two cytokines associated with acute inflammation. LD cells from CRAx3 mice produced lower quantities of these cytokines compared with cells from HBSSx3 mice. When lung and peritoneal cells from CRAx3 mice are cocultured, there is a significant increase in cytokine production compared with stimulated LD cells. PW cells from both HBSSx3 and CRAx3 mice produced similar levels of these two cytokines (Fig. 5, A and B). IL-4 and IL-13 are two cytokines strongly associated with Th2 responses and are considered to be key

Fig. 2. Identification of lung macrophages by flow cytometry. Mice were killed 24 h after final CRA exposure. Age-matched mice that were exposed to HBSS in place of CRA were used as controls. Whole lungs were excised and digested in Lung Digestion Buffer before being processed for FACS analysis. Shown are representative FACS plots from a series of experiments. A: representative photomicrograph of a lung digest cells stained with Diff-Quick. B: forward (FSC)/side (SSC) scatter dot-plot showing readily identifiable populations of putative lymphocyte, macrophage, and granulocyte cells. C: histogram of F4/80 expression on CD45+ cells, identified as macrophages. D: percentage size of the macrophage population as assessed by microscopy (M) or flow cytometry (FC). Open bars: HBSSx3; solid bars: CRAx3. E and F: CD80 and CD86 expression on macrophages (CD45+, F4/80+ cells) showing the reduction in expression following exposure to CRA. Solid line: CRAx3; dashed line: HBSSx3; shaded area: isotype control.
components of asthma pathogenesis (60). As shown in Fig. 5, C
and D, LD cells from HBSSx3 mice and PW cells from both
HBSSx3 and CRAx3 mice did not produce detectable levels
of these cytokines. However, LD cells from CRA exposure produced
high concentrations of both IL-4 and IL-13, and this was signif-
icantly reduced when they were cocultured with PW cells. Sig-
nificant eosinophil influx is observed in the CRA-induced asthma
model (Fig. 1, B and C), and both IL-4 and -13 are known to
positively influence eotaxin production (34, 36, 58). In vitro
production of eotaxin 1 and 2 is observed, but the picture is
complex (Fig. 5, E and F). Eotaxin 1 follows the same pattern as
seen with IL-4 and -13; LD cells from HBSSx3 mice and PW
cells from HBSSx3 and CRAx3 mice do not produce detectable
amounts of eotaxin 1. LD cells from CRAx3 mice produced large

Fig. 4. Peritoneal cavity cells are unaffected by pulmonary
CRA exposure. Peritoneal cells were collected by lavage
with 5 ml warm HBSS from mice 24 h after final CRA
exposure or age-matched HBSS-exposed controls. Using the
same criteria for the identification of lung cells, no significant
differences were observed in cells from the peritoneal cavity
between CRAx3 and HBSSx3 mice. A: representative photo-
micrograph of peritoneal lavage cells stained with Diff-Quick.
B: quantification of data shown in A. Values are mean ± SE;
n = 5–6 mice per group. E, eosinophils; L, lymphocytes; M,
macrophages; N, neutrophils; B, basophils. C: representative
FACS histograms showing surface expression of CD80 and
CD86 on peritoneal macrophages (CD45+, F4/80+ cells).
Solid line: CRAx3. Dashed line: HBSSx3. Shaded area: iso-
type control.
quantities of eotaxin 1, and this was not significantly reduced when cocultured with PW cells (Fig. 5E). With eotaxin 2, the pattern is closer to that seen with IL-6 and TNF-α: both lung and peritoneal cells from both HBSSx3 and CRAx3 mice produced appreciable quantities of the cytokine when cultured alone. When cocultured, the production is enhanced although the effect is not significant (Fig. 5F). The findings from this ex vivo culture assay suggest that peritoneal cells have the potential to alter the nature of the lung response to CRA. In particular, the direction of the alteration is a reduction in TH2-associated cytokines (IL-4 and IL-13), and an increase in cytokines associated with acute inflammation (IL-6 and TNF-α).

Based on the implications of the data presented in Figs. 4 and 5, we developed a protocol to harvest cells from the peritoneal cavity of live mice using a minimally invasive sterile process that does not require postprocedure antibiotics or analgesics. On day 21 of the model (24 h before the final CRA exposure), the peritoneal cavity was lavaged, the recovered cells were immediately counted, and 5 × 10^5 cells were intratracheally instilled into the mice using exactly the same procedure as for CRA exposure.

To determine the localization of transferred cells, fluorescently labeled peritoneal cells were instilled into the lungs of a single group of naïve mice. Forty-eight hours later, the presence of labeled cells was evaluated by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 6A, CFSE-labeled (i.e., transferred) cells remain largely within the airways and are detectable in the BAL only. Cells stained with FITC-Dextran were also seen in the lung peritoneum.
Fig. 7. Airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) and mucin production following autologous macrophage transfer (ATM). Mice were given either intratracheal HBSS (no ATM) or autologous cells (ATM) 24 h before the final challenge. A: 24 h following the final challenge, AHR was assessed by exposure to increasing concentrations of methacholine (Mch). CRAx3 mice had significantly higher enhanced pause (Penh) than HBSSx3 mice \( (P = 0.0123) \). ATM had no significant effect on AHR within groups. B: lung sections were stained with PAS, and mucin production was assessed. CRAx3 mice had significantly higher levels of mucin than HBSSx3 mice \( (P < 0.0001) \). ATM had no significant effect on mucin production within groups. ●, CRAx3; ATM. ○, CRAx3; no ATM. □, HBSSx3; ATM. ■, HBSSx3; no ATM. Values are mean ± SE (A) or absolute value with mean represented as a horizontal black line (B); \( n = 4–9 \) mice per group; NS, not significant \( (P > 0.05) \).

Fig. 8. ATM significantly reduces pulmonary inflammatory cell recruitment. Peritoneal cells were collected on day 21 and reintroduced into the lungs intratracheally. On day 21 mice received the final exposure to CRA and were killed 4 or 24 h later. Lungs were lavaged, and the recovered cells were stained with Diff-Quick. A: total cells recovered in BAL, showing reduction in number of cells recruited at 4 and 24 h following final CRA exposure. No effect was seen in the lungs of HBSSx3 mice (killed 24 h after final HBSS exposure). B: total neutrophils recovered in BAL, showing no significant changes in mice that received ATM compared with no ATM. C: lavaged lungs were excised and processed for myeloperoxidase (MPO) assay to determine the numbers of neutrophils sequestered in whole lung tissue. No significant reduction in MPO activity was observed in mice that received the ATM compared with no ATM. The concentrations of neutrophil chemotactic cytokines were measured in the BAL (D) and whole lung homogenate (LH) (E); no significant effects of ATM were seen. KC, keratinocyte-derived chemokine. Open bars: no ATM group; solid bars: ATM group. Values are means ± SE; \( n = 4–6 \) mice per group; *\( P \leq 0.05; **P \leq 0.01 \) comparing the indicated groups.
However, there are significant effects seen in CRA-sensi-
tized and -challenged mice that received the ATM compared
with no ATM (i.e., CRA-exposed mice that received IT HBSS
instead of the ATM on day 20). As shown in Fig. 8A, signif-
icantly fewer cells are recovered in the BAL of ATM mice at
both 4 and 24 h following the final CRA challenge. Differential
counts of BAL cells revealed no significant differences in the
numbers of lymphocytes or macrophages (data not shown);
despite the prior transfer of macrophages into the lung, this was
the expected result, as the cells recovered in the BAL represent
an incomplete sampling of the total cell population within the
airways.

Neutrophil influx was also unaffected at both early (4 h) and
late (24 h) time points following the final CRA challenge (Fig.
8B). MPO levels in whole lung homogenates show that the CRA
challenge protocol increases MPO compared with HBSSx3 mice,
but the ATM had no effect in either HBSSx3 or CRAx3 mice,
indicating that it did not induce neutrophil sequestration in the
lung parenchyma (Fig. 8C). Concentrations of the neutrophil
chemoattractant keratinocyte-derived chemokine were also mea-
sured in the BAL fluid and whole lung homogenate, and no
significant differences were observed (Fig. 8, D and E). In total,
the ATM had minimal impact on pulmonary neutrophil recruit-
ment or induction of neutrophil chemokines by CRA.

In vitro experiments showed an increase in the production of
the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α when lung and
peritoneal cells were cocultured in the presence of CRA (Fig.
5, A and B, solid bars). As these cytokines are particularly
involved in the acute phase response, in vivo pulmonary
neutrophil influx following ATM was assessed. No significant
differences in IL-6, TNF-α, or IFN-γ were detected in vivo
lung homogenate or BAL. IFN-γ concentration was below
detection limits in the BAL (Fig. 9).

Pulmonary eosinophil recruitment is a critical feature of the
asthmatic response (61), and the ATM procedure did significantly
decrease the recruitment of eosinophils. Again, there were no
observed effects of the ATM procedure in HBSSx3 mice with
regard to presence of eosinophils in the BAL (Fig. 10A), seque-
stration of eosinophils in the lung (as measured by EPO assay, Fig.
10B), or production of eosinophil chemoattractants eotaxin 1 and
2 (Fig. 10, C–F). Levels of eotaxin 1 and 2 in HBSSx3 mice were
either below detection or at concentrations less than 1% of those
seen in CRAx3 mice. In CRAx3 mice that received the ATM, the
number of eosinophils recovered in BAL fluid was significantly
reduced at both 4 and 24 h after the final CRA exposure (Fig.
10A). Eosinophil sequestration in the lung tissue was also signif-
icantly reduced, as measured by EPO levels (Fig. 10B). In addi-
tion, at 24 h following the final CRA exposure, BAL levels of the
eosinophil chemotactic cytokines eotaxin 1 and 2 were reduced in
mice that received the ATM compared with the no-ATM group
(Fig. 10, C and D), and a similar situation was observed in the
whole lung homogenate (Fig. 10, E and F). This reduction of
eosinophil chemokines represents a possible mechanism for the
observed reduction in eosinophil recruitment.

**DISCUSSION**

There is considerable evidence to suggest that macrophages
play a key role in the pathogenesis of pulmonary allergic
disease; they also represent one of the most abundant cell types
in the airways of both naïve and sensitized mice (15, 30, 46).
In this study, we have shown that exposure to CRA has a
significant effect on macrophage phenotypes, increasing the
number of macrophages in the lung and reducing their surface
expression of CD80 and CD86, molecules, which, among
others, have been previously established as useful in assessing
the phenotype of macrophages (1, 10, 19, 20, 35, 39, 51). We
have also developed a novel procedure to supplement the lung
macrophage population in our outbred mouse model; to our

![Fig. 9](http://ajplung.physiology.org/) Cytokine concentrations in the BAL and lung homogenate (LH). Peritoneal cells were collected on day 20 and reintroduced into the lungs intratracheally. On day 21 mice received the final exposure to CRA and were killed 4 or 24 h later. Cytokine concentrations in the BAL (A and B) and LH (C–E) were assessed by ELISA. No significant effects of the ATM procedure were observed. Open bars: no ATM group; solid bars: ATM group. Values are mean ± SE; n = 4–6 mice per group.
knowledge the use of an ATM has never been investigated in the CRA-induced asthma model.

Our present data show that, within the CRA-induced asthma model, expression of both CD80 and CD86 on lung macrophages is reduced following allergen exposure. Although co-stimulatory molecule expression on macrophages and other cells has been previously studied in asthma (2, 21, 31, 57), this is the first report using a CRA-induced mouse model.

Macrophages, as antigen-presenting cells, play a key role in the bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Costimulation during antigen presentation is essential for the formation of an effective adaptive immune response, as has been shown in murine studies using CD80/CD86 knockout mice (31, 57). Furthermore, studies in mice and humans have shown that, following airway exposure to allergen, there is increased expression of CD80 and/or CD86 on macrophages (2, 5).

As our data contradicts these findings, it is worth reemphasizing the differences between our model and the more widely used OVA-induced asthma model. Our present data show that, within the CRA-induced asthma model, expression of both CD80 and CD86 on lung macrophages is reduced following allergen exposure. Although co-stimulatory molecule expression on macrophages and other cells has been previously studied in asthma (2, 21, 31, 57), this is the first report using a CRA-induced mouse model.

Macrophages, as antigen-presenting cells, play a key role in the bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Costimulation during antigen presentation is essential for the formation of an effective adaptive immune response, as has been shown in murine studies using CD80/CD86 knockout mice (31, 57). Furthermore, studies in mice and humans have shown that, following airway exposure to allergen, there is increased expression of CD80 and/or CD86 on macrophages (2, 5).

As our data contradicts these findings, it is worth reemphasizing the differences between our model and the more widely used OVA-induced asthma models. CD80 and CD86 and the reduction of M1 characteristics. Additional studies have shown the association of low expression levels of CD80 and CD86 with the M2 phenotype (10, 39, 51). The purpose of this study was not to characterize the macrophage phenotype induced by CRA exposure but rather to demonstrate that CRA exposure induces a change in phenotype and that addition of naive macrophages will reduce eosinophil recruitment. In this regard, CD80 and CD86 are evaluated here as biomarkers, as opposed to functional molecules influencing the mechanistic behavior of macrophages. Therefore, the conclusions drawn here relate to the changes in the expression levels of these molecules rather than their biological function. Although we do not go so far as to assert that CRA induces an M2 phenotype, a clear phenotypic change is occurring, and, judging by the observed reduced expression levels of CD80 and CD86, this change has certain characteristics of a reduction in the M1 phenotype.

As it is relatively easy to activate macrophages ex vivo, it is important to note that the ATM procedure had no significant effects in mice not exposed to CRA, and peritoneal cells from both HBSSx3 and CRAx3 mice produced similar levels of cytokines when stimulated in culture (Fig. 5). These observations suggest that the ATM procedure does not exert its effects simply by passive induction of opposing or atypical inflammation but rather by specifically acting within the environment already set up in the CRA-exposed lung. There is no obvious set of conditions to control for the addition of peritoneal macrophages, other than the vehicle (HBSS alone). An irrele-
vant cell population would have the confounding effects of cytokine production and cell-cell interactions that may be induced by any live cell. The idea of using killed or inactivated peritoneal cells is also flawed, as it has previously been shown that adoptive transfer of apoptotic or necrotic cells can dramatically influence the immune response in distinct ways (17).

Macrophages have been shown to be involved in the generation of tolerance to nonspecific stimuli such as LPS (23, 44, 62), and this process could be one possible mechanism behind our observations. However, based on the fact that no reduction in the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α following the ATM procedure was observed, our data suggest that tolerance is not playing a significant role in our model. BAL concentration of the Th1-associated cytokine IFN-γ was also unaffected following macrophage transfer.

We have used the ATM procedure to demonstrate that macrophages can influence the immune response to CRA, in particular causing a significant reduction in eotaxin 1 and 2 levels, with a concomitant reduction in eosinophil recruitment and, consequently, eosinophilia. These observations also lend weight to the idea that adoptive transfer of apoptotic or necrotic cells can alter an established immune response, type of macrophages can alter an established immune response, and that adoptive transfer of apoptotic or necrotic cells can alter an established immune response.

As the presence of eosinophils has been correlated with severity of asthma (3), and there is evidence that these cells play a role in the induction of AHR (11), the fact that in our autologous transfer model we observed no reduction in AHR requires explanation. In OVA-based murine models of asthma, the glucocorticoid dexamethasone has been shown to reduce both AHR and pulmonary eosinophil recruitment (including the reduction of eotaxins and IL-5). However, specific inhibition of eosinophila by monoclonal antibodies against eotaxin and/or IL-5 has been shown to have no effect on AHR (8). This indicates that distinct and separate mechanisms are involved in the generation of AHR and eosinophil recruitment and that dexamethasone treatment does not necessarily reduce AHR by inhibiting eosinophilia. These observations also lend weight to the data presented here, which suggests that the ATM procedure exerts its effects on eosinophils through a specific mechanism rather than nonspecific immunosuppression or the induction of tolerance.

Multiple different cell types can act as sources of eotaxin, including epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and eosinophils (27, 36, 41). It has been shown that macrophages themselves are able to produce appreciable quantities of eosinophil chemotactic factors (including eotaxin 1 and 2; Ref. 47) following activation via the IL-4Rα (58), which is also known to be involved in the development of an alternatively activated phenotype via signals mediated by both IL-4 and IL-13 (13, 32, 38, 52). As we have shown, CRA induces significant production of IL-4 and IL-13 by lung cells, and, although the precise cellular source of these cytokines remains undefined, it is known that they both play a role in upregulating eotaxin production (34, 36, 58). Whereas the reduction of IL-4 and IL-13 was not accompanied by a corresponding decrease in eotaxin 2 levels in vitro, reduction in both eotaxin 1 and 2 was seen in the BAL fluid and whole lung homogenate in vivo; this observation serves to expose the limitations of a solely in vitro approach.

The results presented here highlight the fact that the phenotype of macrophages can alter an established immune response, without the need for ex vivo manipulation or the addition of exogenous substances. The fact that the ATM is a rapid procedure with very little associated trauma, and the potential ease with which the transferred macrophages might be delibera-
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