EGFR activation suppresses respiratory virus-induced IRF1-dependent CXCL10 production
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Kalinowski A, Ueki I, Min-Oo G, Ballon-Landa E, Knoff D, Galen B, Lanier LL, Nadel J, Koff J. EGFR activation suppresses respiratory virus-induced IRF1-dependent CXCL10 production. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 307: L186–L196, 2014. First published May 16, 2014; doi:10.1152/ajplung.00368.2013.—Airway epithelial cells are the primary cell type involved in respiratory viral infection. Upon infection, viruses induce airway epithelial production of interleukin (IL)-8 [also known as CXCL8 (10, 44)], which has been shown to require epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) activation (22, 25, 31). EGFR (ErbB1/HER1), a tyrosine kinase receptor present in epithelial cells, is activated in a ligand-dependent manner (41). In airway epithelial cells, EGFR activation involves an integrated signaling pathway that includes NADPH oxidase (Nox) activation of metalloproteinase, and EGFR ligand release that activates EGFR. Because respiratory viruses have been shown to activate EGFR via this signaling pathway in airway epithelium, we investigated the effect of virus-induced EGFR activation on airway epithelial antiviral responses. CXCL10, a chemokine produced by airway epithelial cells in response to respiratory viral infection, contributes to the recruitment of lymphocytes to target and kill virus-infected cells. While respiratory viruses activate EGFR, the interaction between CXCL10 and EGFR signaling pathways is unclear, and the potential for EGFR signaling to suppress CXCL10 has not been explored. Here, we report that viral infection-induced EGFR activation suppresses CXCL10 production. We found that influenza virus-, rhinovirus-, and RSV-induced EGFR activation suppressed IFN regulatory factor (IRF) 1-dependent CXCL10 production. In addition, inhibition of EGFR during viral infection augmented IRF1 and CXCL10. These findings describe a novel mechanism that viruses use to suppress endogenous antiviral defenses, and provide potential targets for future therapies.
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THE AIRWAY EPITHELIUM PLAYS a critical role in host defense. Upon exposure to pathogens, airway epithelial cells contribute to innate immune responses and influence adaptive immune effector cells. Respiratory viral infections, which cause pneumonia and exacerbations of chronic lung diseases [e.g., asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)], are responsible for significant morbidity and mortality. Despite substantial disease burden, there are limited therapies for treating virus-induced pulmonary disease. Therefore, improving our understanding of host responses, and relevant signaling mechanisms, may contribute to identifying novel targets for therapy.

Airway epithelial cells are the primary cell type involved in respiratory viral infection. Upon infection, viruses induce airway epithelial production of interleukin (IL)-8 [also known as CXCL8 (10, 44)], which has been shown to require epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) activation (22, 25, 31). EGFR (ErbB1/HER1), a tyrosine kinase receptor present in epithelial cells, is activated in a ligand-dependent manner (41). In airway epithelial cells, EGFR activation involves an integrated signaling pathway that includes NADPH oxidase (Nox) activation of a metalloproteinase (MP), which cleaves an EGFR ligand that is released to bind to, and to activate, EGFR (7, 40). Recently, respiratory viruses have been shown to activate EGFR via this signaling pathway in airway epithelium (4, 22, 59). Therefore, we investigated the effect of virus-induced EGFR activation on airway epithelial antiviral responses.

Recruitment of natural killer (NK) cells and other lymphocytes (e.g., CD8+ T cells) to target and kill virus-infected cells is a critical component of pulmonary antiviral defense. CXCL10 [also known as interferon (IFN)-γ-inducible protein of 10 kDa (IP-10)] is a member of a family of chemokine ligands for CXCR3, a receptor that is expressed on B and T lymphocytes and NK cells, as well as dendritic cells, macrophages, and nonhematopoietic cells. Originally identified from IFN-γ-stimulated monocytes, CXCL10 was found to be the highest expressed CXCR3 ligand in airway epithelial cells (38). CXCL10 is elevated during COPD exacerbations (3) and was implicated as a potential biomarker for virus-induced asthma exacerbations (55). A variety of molecules have been implicated in CXCL10 regulation, including IFN-stimulated response element (34), signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (30), NF-kβ (43), and IFN regulatory factors (IRFs) (58).

Influenza A virus (H1N1), rhinovirus (RV), and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) are single-stranded RNA viruses that represent a broad range of human viral pathogens. Each virus may cause viral pneumonia or induce exacerbations of asthma and COPD (19). In addition, RSV causes bronchiolitis in children, which contributes significantly to childhood morbidity (15). Importantly, each of these viruses has been shown to activate EGFR (13, 25, 31), and H1N1 and RV were shown to activate EGFR via Nox and MP-induced release of EGFR ligand (4, 13, 25, 59). Airway epithelial cell culture experiments have shown increased CXCL10 in response to respiratory viral infections (9, 32, 43). In addition, IRF have been implicated in RV-induced airway epithelial CXCL10 production (58). However, the interaction between CXCL10 and...
EGFR signaling pathways is unclear, and the potential for EGFR signaling to suppress CXCL10 has not been explored. In addition, the interaction between these two signaling pathways may have implications for effective antiviral host defense. Here, we examined the interaction between virus-induced EGFR signaling and CXCL10 production in airway epithelium.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents.** EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG-1478, platelet-de- derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG-1295, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α protein inhibitor-1 (TAPI), EGFR neutralizing antibody (Ab) diphencylentetrazolium chloride (DPI), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and transforming growth factor (TGF)-α were obtained from EMD Millipore (Billerica, MA). N-propyl gallate (nPG) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Gefitinib was purchased from Tocris Biosciences (Bristol, UK). The synthetic dsRNA polinosine-polycytidylic acid (poly I:C) was purchased from InvivoGen (San Diego, CA).

**Viruses.** Purified influenza A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) was purchased from Advanced Biotechnologies (Columbia, MD) and was used for in vitro and in vivo experiments. Influenza A virus (H1N1) titers were determined by tissue culture infectious dose 50 (TCID50%) and plaque assays (50). Rhinovirus (RV) 16 was a generous gift from Dr. William Busse, (Madison, WI), and RV1B was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). RV16 and -1B were grown in HeLa cells (ATCC) and purified by centrifugation through sucrose gradient, as previously described (58). RV titers were determined by TCID50% and plaque assay (50). RSV A2 was purchased from ATCC, and RSV titers were determined by TCID50% and plaque assay. Supernatants collected from mock-infected BEAS-2b cells did not induce CXCL10 above serum-free medium alone (data not shown).

**Cell culture.** Dr. John Fahy [University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, CA] generously provided bronchial epithelial (BEAS-2b) cells. Drs. Patrick Hayden (MatTek, Ashland, MA) and Walter Finkbeiner (UCSF) generously provided primary normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells from healthy donors. Cells were seeded at 0.5–2 × 10^5 cells/ml and grown in bronchial epithelial growth medium (Lonza, Walkersville, MD) supplemented with growth factors, penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 µg/ml). Sixteen hours before viral infection, EGF and hydrocortisone were removed from cell culture medium. After preliminary experiments were completed with different H1N1, RV, and RSV concentrations at 24 h to determine CXCL10 production, subsequent experiments used H1N1 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.5, RV1b at MOI of 1, RV16 at MOI of 2, and RSV at MOI of 1 in BEAS-2b cells (50). To maximize viral infection, NHBE cell cultures were infected at 80–90% confluence at MOI = 10, as previously described (11, 50). Chemical inhibitors were added to cell cultures at the time of viral infection. AG-1478 and gefitinib were used at 10 µM because experiments have shown this concentration to inhibit virus-induced inflammation (16, 24, 25, 50), and neither inhibitor induced cell toxicity as measured by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) production at this concentration (50). In addition, we used EGFR siRNA to confirm selectivity for EGFR (Fig. 1B; for details see below). For experiments using the EGFR ligands EGF and TGF-α, we used 10 ng/ml because we, and other investigators, have shown that this concentration increased the effect of respiratory viruses in airway epithelial cells (45, 50).

Cell cultures were incubated at 37°C, and cell culture homogenates and supernatants were harvested at the indicated time points. CXCL10 and IL-8 protein production in cell culture supernatants was measured at 24 h by ELISA (eBioscience). BEAS-2b cells cultured in serum-free medium, treated with chemical inhibitors, or siRNA were assessed for cytotoxicity by using a LDH assay (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), and no significant differences were found (50).

CXCL10 and IRF1 mRNA expression was assessed by quantitative RT-PCR, as previously described (14, 52). Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RT-PCR was evaluated with an Applied Biosystems model 7900 sequence detector (CXCL10 (forward): GAAATTATTCCTGCAAGCCAATTT; CXCL10 (reverse): TCACCCTCTTTTTCTATGGACA; IRF1 (forward): CCTGATACCTTTCTGATGGAC; IRF1 (reverse): TGCAT- GTAGCCTGGAACGTGT). The housekeeping gene GAPDH was used as an internal control.

Western blot was used to measure IRF1. Briefly, after stimulation of cell cultures, cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Lafayette, CO) supplemented with phosphatase and protease inhibitors. Equivalent amounts of protein were loaded on Mini-PROTEAN TGX 10% gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). After electrophoresis and blocking with TBST (Bio-Rad Laboratories) containing 5% BSA, blots were then incubated with anti-IRF1 Ab (D5E4; Cell Signaling) overnight. Membranes were stripped and reprobed with mouse anti-β-actin Ab (sc-47778; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, San Francisco, CA). siRNA was used to knock down EGFR and IRF1 in BEAS-2b cells, as previously described (50, 53). Scrambled (control) and EGFR siRNA were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sense: CU-CUGGAGGAAAAGAAGG; antisense: ACUUCUUUUUUCCUC-CAGAG). Scrambled (control) and IRF1 (duplex UCCCAA-GACGGUGGAAG GCCAACCUIU) siRNA were purchased from Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY). siRNA transfection was carried out using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) in subconfluent cells, and 24 h after transfection cell cultures were treated with dsRNA or infected with virus. siRNA knock down of EGFR and IRF1 was confirmed by Western blot using anti-EGFR (1005; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and anti-IRF1 (D5E4; Cell Signaling) Abs.

To measure IRF1 transcriptional activity, BEAS-2b cells were transfected using TransIT-2020 Reagent (Mirus, Madison, WI) with 250 ng IRF1 luciferase reporter and the appropriate negative and positive controls (SABiosciences, Frederick, MD). After 24 h, cells were stimulated before cell lysates were prepared, and IRF1 luciferase activity was assayed by a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Unless stated, gefitinib was added at the same time as viral infection. Twenty-four hours after viral infection, cell culture homogenates were prepared to measure viral titers, and cell culture supernatants were collected to measure IL-8 and CXCL10.

**Mice and in vivo and ex vivo experiments.** C57BL/6 mice were purchased from NCI. All procedures were reviewed and conducted under approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols from the University of California, San Francisco and Yale University. All experiments were performed using 6- to 8-wk-old female mice. For H1N1 infection, 50 µl of H1N1 (10^4.5 TCID50%) or sterile PBS were given intranasally after sedation with isoflurane. Systemic gefitinib (50 mg/kg), at a dose previously used to suppress lung inflammation (17, 50), was given 16 h before infection and continued daily. Forty-eight hours after viral infection, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and lungs were collected. Total cell count, cell differential, and CXCL10 and MIP2 were measured by ELISA (eBioscience) in BAL. Lung homogenates were analyzed for IRF1 protein by Western blot (monoclonal antibody D5E4; Cell Signaling) and peroxin mRNA by quantitative RT-PCR [peroxin (forward): AGCACAGGTTGCGCGAAC; (reverse): GGCTTCTCATTAGGAGTTT]. For some experiments, primary mouse tracheal epithelial cells (pMTECs) were cultured at air-liquid interface as previously described (6), before perturbation.

**NK cell migration assay.** NKL cells, a human leukemia-derived NK cell line (12) (2 × 10^5), were placed in a Transwell filter in a standard migration assay. Supernatants, collected from BEAS-2b cells stimulated with dsRNA (25 µg/ml), AG-1478 (10 µM), and TGF-α
Fig. 1. Role of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in respiratory virus-induced inflammation. A: normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), AG-1478 (10 μM), influenza A virus (H1N1, hatched bars), rhinovirus (RV) 1b and RV16 (black bars), and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV, gray bars) alone, or with AG-1478, and secreted interleukin (IL)-8 was measured by ELISA at 24 h (n = 6 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. control; #P < 0.05 vs. each virus alone). B: BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone or transfected with EGFR or control (C) siRNA for 24 h and treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), or H1N1 (hatched bars), RV1b (black bars), RV16, and RSV (gray bars). After viral infection (24 h), secreted IL-8 was measured by ELISA (n = 8 independent experiments, means ± SE; **P < 0.001 and ***P < 0.0005 vs. serum-free medium and C siRNA; ##P < 0.001 and ###P < 0.0005 vs. C siRNA + virus). BEAS-2b cells were transfected with EGFR siRNA, and EGFR protein was assessed by Western blot (representative of 3 independent experiments). C: BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), N-propyl galacte (nPG, 100 μM), diphenyleneiodonium chloride (DPI, 3 μM), tumor necrosis factor-α proteinase inhibitor-1 (TAPI, 10 μM), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV1b and RV16 (black bars), and RSV (gray bars) alone, or with nPG, DPI, and TAPI, and secreted IL-8 was measured by ELISA at 24 h (n = 3–5 independent experiments, means ± SE; **P < 0.005 vs. control; ##P < 0.001 and ###P < 0.0001 vs. each virus alone). D: BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV1b and RV16 (black bars), and RSV (gray bars) alone, or with an EGFR Ab or isotype-matched control Ab, and secreted IL-8 was measured by ELISA at 24 h (n = 3–5 independent experiments, means ± SE; **P < 0.005, ####P < 0.0001 vs. control; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.005, and ####P < 0.0001 vs. each virus alone). E: C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or gefitinib (50 mg/kg) or infected (intranasally) with H1N1 (10^6 TCID50%) or H1N1 gefitinib. After 24 h, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was collected, and MIP2 was measured by ELISA in BAL (n = 5 mice/group repeated two times, means ± SE; *P < 0.01 vs. vehicle alone; ####P < 0.0001 vs. virus alone).
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(10 ng/ml) for 24 h, were treated with RNase to inactivate any residual dsRNA (20). The addition of RNase had no effect on NLK cell migration (data not shown). Five hundred microliters of BEAS-2b cell supernatant were placed in migration assay. To determine nonspecific or background migration, NLK cells were treated with supernatant from unstimulated BEAS-2b cells. After 3 h of incubation, upper chambers were removed. The percentage of migrated cells was calculated by subtracting the value of spontaneously migrating cells from the number of migrating cells, and afterward the result was divided by the total number of cells that was initially loaded on the Transwell filter.

Statistical analysis. Results are presented as both individual data points and means ± SE. To determine significance, two-tailed Student’s t-test, ANOVA, and Mann-Whitney test were used as appropriate (GraphPad Prism version 5; La Jolla, CA). P values ≤0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Role of EGFR in respiratory virus-induced inflammation. Respiratory viruses activate EGFR (13, 25, 31), a result that we have recently confirmed for H1N1, RV, and RSV (50 and data not shown). EGFR activation induces airway inflammation via production of IL-8 (36), and EGFR has been implicated in airway epithelial IL-8 production in response to RSV and RV (25, 31). Therefore, we investigated the effect of EGFR in H1N1-induced IL-8 production and compared this with RV- and RSV-induced IL-8 production. We observed that NHBE cells infected with H1N1, RV (both RV1b and RV16), and RSV produced IL-8, and the addition of AG-1478, a selective EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, suppressed this effect significantly (Fig. 1A). To confirm the specificity of the chemical inhibitor, we treated BEAS-2b cells with EGFR siRNA, which suppressed EGFR protein significantly (Fig. 1B, top right). Respiratory virus infection-induced IL-8 production in BEAS-2b cells was inhibited with EGFR siRNA, compared with cells stimulated with H1N1, RV (both RV1b and RV16), and RSV treated with control siRNA (Fig. 1B). In airway epithelium, EGFR activation involves Nox and MP cleavage of EGFR proligand that is released to activate EGFR (7, 40). Components of this pathway have been investigated previously for H1N1 and RV (4, 13, 25, 50, 59). Here, we implicated a role for Nox, MP, and EGFR ligand in H1N1-, RV-, and RSV-induced IL-8 production, which we showed is EGFR dependent (Fig. 1, A and B). First, we found that BEAS-2b cells infected with H1N1, RV, and RSV treated with a reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenger (nPG), a Nox inhibitor (DPI), and a MP inhibitor (TAPI) decreased IL-8 production significantly (Fig. 1C). Second, the addition of an EGFR Ab that prevents ligand binding to EGFR suppressed respiratory virus-induced IL-8 production (Fig. 1D). Finally, to test the effect of EGFR inhibition on an in vivo model of respiratory viral infection, C57BL/6 mice were infected with H1N1 and treated with systemic gefitinib, a selective EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor that is used clinically, 16 h before viral infection and then continued daily. MIP2 (a mouse counterpart of IL-8) was measured by ELISA at 48 h, and we found that gefitinib inhibited H1N1-induced MIP2 production (Fig. 1E). These results confirm that respiratory viruses activate EGFR to induce IL-8 production, which contributes to airway inflammation in response to viral infection.

EGFR activation suppresses respiratory virus-induced CXCL10 production. CXCL10 is a chemokine produced by airway epithelial cells in response to H1N1, RV, and RSV (37, 43, 51). However, the effect of EGFR activation on virus-induced CXCL10 production has not been explored. First, we examined the kinetics of airway epithelial CXCL10 production using synthetic dsRNA (poly I:C), an intermediate of ssRNA viral replication that is a common model of ssRNA viral infection. In BEAS-2b cells, dsRNA increased CXCL10 protein production at 24 h (Fig. 2A). To investigate a role for EGFR signaling in epithelial CXCL10 production, we investigated the effect of the EGFR ligand TGF-α on respiratory virus-induced CXCL10 protein production. BEAS-2b and NHBE cells infected with H1N1, RV, and RSV were treated with TGF-α, and CXCL10 protein production was measured. H1N1, RV, and RSV each stimulated epithelial CXCL10 production, and the addition of TGF-α significantly suppressed CXCL10 production in BEAS-2b (Fig. 2B, left) and NHBE (Fig. 2B, right) cells. Next, we investigated the effect of EGFR on CXCL10 mRNA expression. dsRNA-induced CXCL10 mRNA was suppressed by the addition of TGF-α and EGF (Fig. 2C). In addition, we found that H1N1-, RV-, and RSV-induced CXCL10 mRNA was suppressed significantly by the addition of TGF-α and EGF in NHBE cells (Fig. 2D). These results reveal a novel role for EGFR signaling to suppress respiratory virus-induced airway epithelial cell CXCL10 mRNA and protein production.

EGFR activation suppresses IRF1-dependent CXCL10 production. IFNs, which potently induce CXCL10, were shown to require IRF1 for CXCL10 production (8, 42). Our laboratory, and other investigators, have found that H1N1, RV, and RSV each activate IRF1 in airway epithelial cells (21, 26, 46, 50, 51, 58). In addition, H1N1 and RV were shown to involve IRF1 in CXCL10 production (21, 51, 58). Here, we confirmed that respiratory viruses induce CXCL10 production via IRF1 by treating BEAS-2b cells with IRF1 siRNA, which significantly suppressed IRF1 protein (Fig. 3A, right). Respiratory virus-induced CXCL10 production in BEAS-2b cells was inhibited with IRF1 siRNA compared with cells stimulated with H1N1, RV, and RSV treated with control siRNA (Fig. 3A). Because we found that respiratory virus-induced CXCL10 production involved IRF1, we hypothesized that EGFR activation may inhibit IRF1 as a mechanism for EGFR-induced suppression of CXCL10. We have consistently observed that IRF1 mRNA is increased significantly at 2 h in BEAS-2b cells stimulated with dsRNA (50). Next, we found that treatment of BEAS-2b cells with the EGFR ligands EGF and TGF-α suppressed dsRNA-induced IRF1 mRNA production in BEAS-2b cells at 2 h (Fig. 3B, left). In addition, we found that H1N1-, RV-, and RSV-induced IRF1 mRNA was suppressed with the addition of TGF-α and EGF in NHBE cells (Fig. 3B, right). To measure the effect of EGFR activation on IRF1 function in BEAS-2b cells, we used an IRF1 luciferase reporter assay. The addition of EGF decreased dsRNA-induced IRF1 transcriptional activity (Fig. 3C). Finally, we measured the effect of EGFR ligands on dsRNA-induced IRF1 protein production in pMTECs. dsRNA-induced IRF1 protein production was suppressed by the addition of EGF in pMTECs (Fig. 3D). These experiments provide evidence that airway epithelial CXCL10 production involves IRF1, and they suggest that EGFR activation suppresses IRF1 mRNA, transcriptional activity, and protein production.
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EGFR inhibition increases IRF1-induced CXCL10 production in vitro and in vivo. Because we found that EGFR activation suppressed IRF1 and CXCL10, we investigated the effect of EGFR inhibition on virus-induced IRF1 and CXCL10 production in airway epithelial cells. Here, we used gefitinib and measured CXCL10 production in BEAS-2b and NHBE cells infected with H1N1, RV, and RSV. The addition of gefitinib exaggerated virus-induced CXCL10 production in BEAS-2b cells, an effect that was not seen with another tyrosine kinase inhibitor that selectively targets the PDGF receptor (AG-1295; Fig. 4A). These results were confirmed in NHBE cells with H1N1, RV, and RSV (Fig. 4B). The addition of gefitinib increased dsRNA-induced IRF1 transcriptional activity in BEAS-2b cells (Fig. 4C). To test the effect of EGFR inhibition on an in vivo model of respiratory viral infection, C57BL/6 mice were infected with H1N1, and CXCL10 protein was measured by ELISA. Gefitinib, given systemically before viral infection, and then continued daily, increased H1N1-induced CXCL10 production (Fig. 4D). The addition of gefitinib also decreased viral titers (Fig. 4E), an effect that we found previously in vitro and in vivo (50). In addition, we found that EGFR inhibition in vivo decreased the total number of inflammatory cells found on BAL (Fig. 4F). This effect was associated with decreased neutrophils (Fig. 4G), which reflects decreased MIP2 production that we found with EGFR inhibition (Fig. 1E), and an increase in monocyte/macrophages and lymphocytes (Fig. 4G), which is consistent with increased CXCL10 production observed with EGFR inhibition (Fig. 4D). Therefore, these results showed that: 1) EGFR inhibition increased CXCL10 production in vitro and in vivo, and 2) EGFR inhibition results in decreased viral infection, decreased neutrophils, and increased monocyte/macrophages and lymphocytes in vivo.

Finally, we examined the role of IRF1 in the effect of EGFR inhibition to exaggerate virus-induced epithelial CXCL10 production. We compared BEAS-2b cells transfected with control or IRF1 siRNA that were stimulated with H1N1, RV, and RSV with cells transfected with IRF1 siRNA that were treated with gefitinib. IRF1 siRNA abrogated the effect of gefitinib to exaggerate CXCL10 protein production (Fig. 5A), a result that shows IRF1 is required for EGFR inhibition to increase CXCL10 production.

Fig. 2. EGFR activation suppresses respiratory virus-induced CXCL10 production. A: BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone or the addition of polyinosine-polycytidylic acid (poly I:C, 25 μg/ml; dsRNA), and secreted CXCL10 protein was measured by ELISA at the indicated time points (n = 3–6 independent experiments, means ± SE; ****P < 0.0001 vs. control). B: BEAS-2b (left) and NHBE (right) cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), transforming growth factor (TGF)-α (10 ng/ml), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV (black bars), and RSV (gray bars), or virus + TGF-α, and secreted CXCL10 protein was measured by ELISA at 24 h (n = 3–4 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.005 vs. control; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, and ###P < 0.005 vs. each virus alone). C: BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone, epidermal growth factor (EGF, 10 ng/ml), TGF-α (10 ng/ml), or poly I:C (100 μg/ml; dsRNA) alone, or poly I:C + EGF and TGF-α. CXCL10 mRNA was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR (n = 6 independent experiments; *P < 0.05 vs. serum-free medium; #P < 0.05 vs. dsRNA alone). D: NHBE cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV (black bars), RSV (gray bars), and virus + EGF (10 ng/ml) or TGF-α, and CXCL10 mRNA was analyzed at 8 h by quantitative RT-PCR (n = 4 independent experiments; *P < 0.05 vs. control; ##P < 0.05 vs. each virus alone).
**DISCUSSION**

The airway epithelium plays a critical role in host response to viral infection. An essential component of this response is the recruitment of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) and natural killer (NK) cells. These immune cells are supported by the presence of CXCL10, a chemokine that promotes the migration of these cells. When airway epithelial cells are infected, they produce CXCL10, which attracts and activates these immune cells. The presence of EGFR, a growth factor receptor, modulates this response. EGFR activation can inhibit the expression of CXCL10, reducing the recruitment of CTLs and NK cells. This inhibition is observed both in vitro and in vivo, indicating a complex interplay between EGFR and CXCL10 expression in the airway epithelium. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for developing strategies to enhance the immune response against respiratory viral infections.

**CXCL10 activates CXCR3 expressed on B and T lymphocytes, NK cells, dendritic cells, and nonhematopoietic cells.** Perforin is a cytotoxic protein secreted by cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) and NK cells. To implicate CXCL10-induced recruitment of CTLs and NK cells, we measured perforin mRNA in the in vivo model of respiratory viral infection. Gefitinib, given systemically before viral infection, and then added daily, increased H1N1-induced perforin mRNA (Fig. 5B), which suggests an increase in CTLs and NK cells due to CXCL10 expression. These results indicate that EGFR signaling in airway epithelial cells, which modulates CXCL10 production, may influence NK cell migration in response to a viral stimulus.

**Fig. 3. EGFR activation suppresses interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 1-induced CXCL10 production.** A: BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone, or transfected with IRF1 or control (C) siRNA for 24 h and treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), or H1N1 (hatched bars), RV (black bars), and RSV (gray bars). After viral infection (24 h), secreted CXCL10 was measured by ELISA (n = 5 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05 vs. serum-free medium; ##P < 0.05 vs. serum-free medium and C siRNA; ++P < 0.05 vs. C siRNA + virus). BEAS-2b cells were transfected with IRF1 siRNA, and IRF1 protein was assayed by Western blot (representative of 3 independent experiments). B: left, BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone, dsRNA, dsRNA + EGF (10 ng/ml) and TGF-α (10 ng/ml), and IRF1 mRNA was analyzed at 2 h by quantitative RT-PCR (n = 5 independent experiments; *P < 0.05 vs. serum-free medium; ##P < 0.005 vs. dsRNA alone). NHBE cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV (black bars), RSV (gray bars), and virus + EGF (10 ng/ml), and IRF1 mRNA was analyzed at 2 h by quantitative RT-PCR (n = 3 independent experiments in duplicate; *P < 0.05 vs. control; ##P < 0.05 vs. each virus alone). C: BEAS-2b cells were transfected with IRF1 luciferase reporter and after 24 h treated with serum-free medium alone, EGF (10 ng/ml), dsRNA (100 μg/ml), and dsRNA + EGF for 3 h before luciferase activity was measured (n = 4 independent experiments in duplicate; ***P < 0.0005 vs. serum-free medium; ##P < 0.01 vs. dsRNA alone). D: IRF1 protein was measured in primary mouse tracheal epithelial cells (pMTECs) by Western blot 2 h after treatment with serum-free medium, dsRNA (100 μg/ml), dsRNA + EGF (10 ng/ml), and EGF alone (data shown are representative of 3 independent experiments).
Fig. 4. EGFR inhibition increases IRF1-induced CXCL10 production in vitro and in vivo. A: BEAS-2b cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), gefitinib (Gef; 10 μM), AG-1295 (10 μM), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV (black bars), and RSV (gray bars), or virus + Gef and AG-1295, and secreted CXCL10 protein was measured by ELISA at 24 h (n = 3–6 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, and ***P < 0.0005 vs. control; ##P < 0.005 and ###P < 0.0005 vs. each virus alone). B: NHBE cells were treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), AG-1478 (10 μM), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV (black bars), and RSV (gray bars), or virus + AG-1478, and secreted CXCL10 protein was measured by ELISA at 24 h (n = 3 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05, vs. control; #P < 0.05 and ##P < 0.005 vs. each virus alone). C: BEAS-2b cells were transfected with IRF1 luciferase reporter and after 24 h treated with serum-free medium alone (white bars), gefitinib (Gef; 10 μM), dsRNA (100 μg/ml), and dsRNA + Gef for 3 h before luciferase activity was measured (n = 4 independent experiments in duplicate; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, and ***P < 0.0005 vs. control; ##P < 0.005 vs. dsRNA alone). D: C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or gefitinib (50 mg/kg) or infected (intranasally) with influenza A virus (IAV, 10^5.4 TCID50%) or IAV + gefitinib. After 24 h, BAL was collected, and CXCL10 was measured by ELISA in BAL (n = 5 mice/group representative of 2 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle alone; #P < 0.05 vs. virus alone). E: C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or gefitinib (50 mg/kg) or infected (intranasally) with IAV (10^6.4 TCID50%) or IAV + gefitinib, and viral titers were quantified by plaque assay at 48 h (n = 6 mice/group representative of 2 independent experiments, means ± SE; ***P < 0.001 vs. virus alone). F: C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or gefitinib (50 mg/kg) or infected (intranasally) with IAV (10^6.5 TCID50%) or IAV + gefitinib, and total cell counts in BAL were measured (n = 3–4 mice/group repeated two times, means ± SE; *P < 0.05 vs. virus alone). G: C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or gefitinib (50 mg/kg) or infected (intranasally) with IAV (10^5.5 TCID50%) or IAV + gefitinib, and percent neutrophils (N), monocytes/macrophages (M), and lymphocytes (L) was measured (n = 3–4 mice/group repeated two times, means ± SE; *P < 0.05, #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.005 vs. virus alone).
infected (intranasally) with IAV (10^4.5 TCID50%) or IAV
B: C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or gefitinib (50 mg/kg) or
\[ H11006 \]
secreted CXCL10 was measured by ELISA (alone (white bars), H1N1 (hatched bars), RV (black bars), and RSV (gray bars), or each virus + gefitinib (Gef; 10 μM). After viral infection (24 h), secreted CXCL10 was measured by ELISA (n = 6 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05 vs. control; #P < 0.05 vs. C siRNA + virus).

B: C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or gefitinib (50 mg/kg) or infected (intranasally) with IAV (10^4.5 TCID50%) or IAV + gefitinib. After 48 h, lung was collected, and perfusion mRNA was measured by quantitative RT-PCR (n = 7 mice/group representative of 2 independent experiments, means ± SE; ***P < 0.001 vs. vehicle alone; ##P < 0.005 vs. virus alone).

C: BEAS-2b cells were treated for 24 h with serum-free medium alone, dsRNA (25 μg/ml) alone, or with dsRNA and the addition of AG-1478 (10 μM), and TGF-α (10 ng/ml). Cell culture supernatants were collected and added to NKL cells in a standard cell migration assay for 3 h. Data are expressed as a percentage of serum-free medium (n = 5 independent experiments, means ± SE; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. control; #P < 0.05 vs. dsRNA alone).

production of cytokines and chemokines that influence innate and adaptive antiviral immune responses. Here, we found that respiratory viruses stimulate IL-8 production via EGFR activation, which suppresses H1N1-, RV-, and RSV-induced IRF1 and CXCL10 production in airway epithelial cells. In addition, inhibition of EGFR augmented epithelial production of IRF1 and CXCL10, which led to increased lymphocytes, perforin, and NK cell migration.

In the study of airway epithelial responses to viral infection, one of the earliest observations was the induction of IL-8 in response to respiratory viruses (5, 10, 44). Airway epithelial production of IL-8 was found to involve EGFR signaling (36), and more recently EGFR was implicated in RV- and RSV-induced IL-8 production (25, 31). H1N1 activates EGFR in airway epithelium (13, 50), and H1N1-induced EGFR signaling stimulates airway epithelial mucin production (4). Surprising, airway epithelial EGFR-induced IL-8 production, in response to H1N1, has not been reported. Here, we found that blockade of EGFR tyrosine kinase activation suppressed H1N1-, RV16-, RV1b-, and RSV-induced IL-8 production in NHBE cells. To confirm the specificity of chemical inhibitors, we showed that EGFR siRNA suppressed virus-induced IL-8 production. In airway epithelium, EGFR activation involves an integrated signaling pathway that includes Nox-induced production of ROS that activate a MP [e.g., TNF-α-converting enzyme (TACE)], which cleaves an EGFR proligand that is released to bind to, and to activate, EGFR (22, 40). Recently, we implicated Nox as a shared epithelial signal in response to multiple respiratory viruses (50). In addition, here we found that a Nox inhibitor (TAPI), ROS scavenger (nPG), MP inhibitor (TAPI) with some selectivity to TACE, and an EGFR Ab that prevents ligand binding to EGFR, each suppressed H1N1-, RV-, and RSV-induced IL-8 production. To test the effect of EGFR inhibition on respiratory virus-induced IL-8 production in vivo, we used a mouse model of H1N1 infection. We found that EGFR inhibition suppressed H1N1-induced MIP2, a mouse counterpart of human IL-8. Collectively, these experiments implicate EGFR signaling in virus-induced IL-8 production.

CXCL10 is a CXCR3 ligand, produced by airway epithelium, that contributes to NK cell and T lymphocyte recruitment to target and kill virus-infected cells. We investigated the role of EGFR signaling on CXCL10 production in airway epithelium, and we found that EGFR activation suppressed respiratory virus-induced CXCL10 mRNA and protein production. EGFR has been implicated in keratinocyte CXCL10 production (28), but the mechanisms involved have not been identified. IRF1 has also been implicated in virus-induced CXCL10 production (21, 51, 58), and here we found that EGFR activation suppressed respiratory virus-induced IRF1 mRNA and protein production. IRF1 suppresses oncogenic transformation and induces apoptosis (47), which are opposing effects of EGFR-induced cell proliferation. However, prior studies have
reported EGFR-dependent IRF1 production in a cancer cell line (2), but this effect required higher concentrations of EGFR ligand, and the timing of IRF1 was later than what we observed in airway epithelial cells. In addition, we found that inhibition of EGFR in airway epithelial cells increased virus-induced IRF1 and CXCL10. Furthermore, inhibition of both EGFR and ERK 1/2, a mitogen-activated protein kinase downstream from EGFR, increased IP-10 production in keratinocytes (28). More recently, Zaheer et al. reported that ERK inhibition increased RV-induced CXCL10 (57), a result that we have confirmed (data not shown). This result is consistent with our finding that EGFR inhibition exaggerates H1N1-, RV-, and RSV-induced CXCL10, because EGFR activation is a strong stimulus for ERK in airway epithelial cells. These results suggest that future experiments to investigate downstream EGFR-dependent ERK signaling will be informative to elucidate the signaling intermediates between IRF1 and EGFR.

By contributing to the recognition and response to inhaled pathogens, the airway epithelium plays a fundamental role in host defense. However, the inflammatory host response that is initiated to combat infection must be effectively regulated to prevent persistent damage. Therefore, components of the inflammatory response may be more or less productive to overall host defense. The regulation of these host responses is referred to as host tolerance (39). For example, airway epithelial IL-8 production results in recruitment of neutrophils into the lung, which is a critical innate immune response against bacterial infection. However, the role of neutrophil recruitment in response to viral infections is less clear. Neutrophils are present during viral exacerbations of asthma (49) and COPD (27) and contribute to exaggerated lung inflammation in these diseases. Virus-induced acute lung injury severity is associated with neutrophil recruitment (35), which is a critical component of the pathogenesis of acute lung injury (54). In addition, inhibition of neutrophils in models of acute lung injury was shown to be protective (1). However, recruited neutrophils secrete molecules that contribute to persistent inflammation, which causes epithelial damage. For example, neutrophils secrete elastase, which induces airway epithelial EGFR activation (23), and provides positive feedback for continued epithelial production of IL-8 and subsequent neutrophil recruitment. In addition, we have shown that EGFR activation suppresses CXCL10 production, which may contribute to less effective viral clearance because of impaired recruitment of NK cells and T lymphocytes that kill virus-infected epithelial cells. Importantly, airway epithelium produces both IL-8 and CXCL10 in response to viral infection. However, temporal differences in protein production may have significant implications for the downstream effects of these molecules. For example, airway epithelial cells quickly produce IL-8 in response to pathogens (e.g., within 4–6 h) in vitro (7), whereas CXCL10 production requires 24 h before it is significantly increased in vitro (Fig. 2A). The temporal differences between IL-8 and CXCL10 production may be a result of interactions between these two signaling pathways. However, we found that EGFR inhibition did not increase CXCL10 production before 24 h (data not shown). Therefore, the mechanisms that regulate earlier epithelial IL-8 production, compared with later CXCL10 secretion, remain to be explored.

Although we have shown that EGFR inhibition increases lymphocyte recruitment and results in decreased viral infection, targeting EGFR to suppress neutrophil recruitment and subsequent inflammation has potential limitations. First, removal of neutrophils in a model of influenza virus infection worsened outcomes, although this result required treatment before viral infection (48). Second, the chronic use of an inhaled EGFR inhibitor in COPD patients was not well tolerated (56). Third, recent studies have suggested that innate lymphoid cell-induced repair after influenza virus infection involves EGFR signaling (18, 33). However, the potential for EGFR inhibition (ideally using an inhaled small molecule inhibitor), for a short duration after respiratory viral infection, remains to be explored. As a potential mechanism to support this hypothesis, we found that treatment with an EGFR inhibitor, which increases CXCL10 production, increased: 1) lymphocyte recruitment in vivo, 2) perforin mRNA, which is found in CTL and NK cells, and 3) NK cell migration, which reflects the chemotactic properties of CXCL10. In addition, recently we showed that EGFR inhibition increased epithelial interferon production, which resulted in lower viral titers in vitro and in vivo (50), which we confirmed here (Fig. 4E).

In conclusion, these experiments show that respiratory viruses stimulate IL-8 production via EGFR activation, which suppresses IRF1-dependent CXCL10 production in airway epithelial cells. In addition, inhibition of EGFR augmented epithelial production of IRF1 and CXCL10, which led to increased lymphocytes, perforin, and NK cell chemotaxis (summarized in Fig. 6). These studies provide a novel mechanism that viruses activate to suppress antiviral defenses and implicates potential targets to explore for novel antiviral therapies.
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