Flow-cytometric method for simultaneous analysis of mouse lung epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cells
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Singer BD, Mock JR, D’Alessio FR, Aggarwal NR, Mandke P, Johnston L, Damarla M. Flow-cytometric method for simultaneous analysis of mouse lung epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cells. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 310: L796–L801, 2016. First published March 4, 2016; doi:10.1152/ajplung.00334.2015.—Flow cytometry is a powerful tool capable of simultaneously analyzing multiple parameters on a cell-by-cell basis. Lung tissue preparation for flow cytometry requires creation of a single-cell suspension, which often employs enzymatic and mechanical dissociation techniques. These practices may damage cells and cause cell death that is unrelated to the experimental conditions under study. We tested methods of lung tissue dissociation and sought to minimize cell death in the epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cellular compartments. A protocol that involved flushing the pulmonary circulation and inflating the lung with Dispase, a bacillus-derived neutral metalloproteinase, at the time of tissue harvest followed by mincing, digestion in a DNase and collagenase solution, and filtration before staining with fluorescent reagents concurrently maximized viable yields of epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cells compared with a standard method that did not use enzymes at the time of tissue harvest. Flow cytometry identified each population—epithelial (CD326^+CD31^-CD45^-), endothelial (CD326^-CD31^+CD45^-), and hematopoietic lineage (CD326^-CD31^-CD45^+)—and measured cellular viability by 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) staining. The Dispase method permitted discrimination of epithelial vs. endothelial cell death in a systemic lipopolysaccharide model of increased pulmonary vascular permeability. We conclude that application of a dissociative enzyme solution directly to the cellular compartments of interest at the time of tissue harvest maximized viable cellular yields of those compartments. Investigators could employ this dissociation method to simultaneously harvest epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage and other lineage-negative cells for flow-cytometric analysis.
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FLOW CYTOMETRY IS A SOPHISTICATED technique that permits investigators to simultaneously analyze multiple parameters on a cell-by-cell basis thousands of times per second. Tissue preparation for flow cytometry requires generation of a single-cell suspension, and digestion protocols typically employ a combination of enzymatic and mechanical tissue disruption to achieve a single-cell suspension amenable to flow-cytometric analysis. However, tissue processing can injure cells and cause cell death that is due not directly to the biological system under study but rather to the technique used to prepare the sample. Lungs are composed of numerous cellular compartments, for example, epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cells, organized in a specific and intricate manner to form functional tissue structures. Lung tissue processing for flow-cytometric analysis is complicated in part because both epithelial and endothelial cells are adherent to their respective basement membranes and are also separated from each other by an interstitial layer of cells and matrix proteins.

Recent publications have reported methods to harvest pulmonary cells for flow-cytometric investigation but have largely focused on a single cellular compartment for analysis (2, 3, 8, 11). For example, a combination of enzymatic and mechanical dissociation (3) or solely mechanical dissociation (11) yielded a single-cell suspension amenable to immune cell analysis. The bulk of these published methods use a combination of DNase and collagenase to digest harvested lung tissue prior to flow-cytometric analysis, but enzymatic dissociation with the enzyme Dispase facilitated endothelial cell isolation and viability (8). However, it is unclear how this methodology performed when assessing epithelial cell viability. Bantikassegn et al. (2) were able to examine multiple compartments in a diffuse lung adenocarcinoma model; however, their protocol required enzymatic digestion followed by magnetic bead or fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) separations. Despite this involved protocol, viability varied dramatically: from 57 to 96% between various cellular compartments and separation methods.

Given the complex organization of the various cellular compartments within the lung, a focus on harvesting one cellular compartment for analysis may lead to increased, and variable, damage and cell death within other cellular compartments. To that end, we sought to optimize a method to prepare lung tissue for flow-cytometric assessment that curtails processing-related cell death of epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cellular compartments and therefore maximizes yield of viable cells. Here we describe a method to digest mouse lung tissue that minimizes cell death related to sample preparation and generates viable populations of epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cells from a single sample of mouse lung tissue. These cell lineages represent major compartments that investigators may wish to examine simultaneously using flow cytometry, and we apply our method to a model of endotoxin-induced pulmonary vascular permeability.

METHODS

The Johns Hopkins Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal protocols. Male C57BL/6 wild-type mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and euthanized at 8–12 wk of age. Euthanasia was performed by pentobarbital overdose followed by transection of the caudal vena cava. A 3-mm skin incision was made overlying the larynx; subcutaneous tissues were gently retracted to allow direct visualization of the proximal-mid
trachea. A suture was then passed behind the trachea. The trachea was transorally intubated with an opaque 1-in. 20-gauge catheter, and correct placement was confirmed by direct visualization. The endotracheal catheter was secured in place by tying the suture around the trachea.

The chest cavity was then exposed by midline sternotomy and retraction of the chest wall. A small incision was made into each ventricle, and the pulmonary circulation was flushed with ~2 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by instillation of 1 ml of fluid (see Table 1) delivered via a 20-gauge catheter inserted into the main pulmonary artery under direct visualization. Flushed lungs blanched and appeared white in color.

The left lung was clamped at the hilum and two sequential volumes of 0.7 ml of PBS were gently instilled and withdrawn [bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL)]. For the Dispase method, a volume of 0.7 ml of Dispase (see Table 1) was delivered via the endotracheal catheter followed by 0.5 ml of 1% low-melting-point agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) heated to 50°C, which when cooled served as a semisolid plug to keep enzyme-containing fluid apposed to the lung tissue. The hilum was ligated with suture, and both lungs were then removed separately and placed in PBS on ice for immediate processing.

Each lung was removed from PBS and placed into a 30-mm dish, referred to herein as the digestion dish, containing 2 ml of DNase and collagenase solution (see Table 1). The tissue was minced with scissors into pieces no larger than 2–3 mm and then incubated at 37°C with an orbital speed of 125 revolutions per minute for 30 min.

After incubation, remaining tissue and all fluid in the digestion dish were drawn via an 18-gauge needle into a 5-ml syringe and then retracted back into the digestion dish two to three times to facilitate dispersion. Remaining tissue and all fluid in the digestion dish were then discharged back into the digestion dish two to three times to facilitate tissue disruption. On the final aspiration, the fluid was discharged onto a 70-μm filter (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) that had been prewetted with 1 ml of PBS containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich) suspended over a 50-ml conical tube. The digestion dish was washed with 1 ml of PBS/0.5% BSA to ensure maximal cellular retrieval and then this fluid was aspirated into the syringe and discharged onto the filter. The syringe plunger was then used to gently disrupt any remaining intact tissue before washing the filter with 2 ml of cold PBS/0.5% BSA.

Cell pellets were obtained via centrifugation at 300 g for 5 min at 4°C (all spins). The supernatant was discarded by aspiration and 2 ml of ACK lysis solution (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was added to the pellet to lyse remaining erythrocytes. The pellet was then resuspended by gentle vortex and allowed to incubate in the ACK lysis solution for 4 min at room temperature before addition of 4 ml PBS to each sample (to normalize tonicity) and passing the cell suspension through a second prewetted 70-μm filter. Samples were recently centrifuged and the pellet was finally resuspended by gentle vortex in 1 ml of cold PBS/2.5% BSA. Cell counts were determined manually in a hemocytometer by an investigator blinded to the experimental condition (M. Damarla).

An aliquot of each sample containing 1–1.5 × 10⁶ cells was loaded into a well of a 96-well plate, which was centrifuged, and the supernatant was removed by decanting and blotting the plate on a paper towel. Then 50 μl of PBS/0.5% BSA containing a 1:100 dilution of Fc Block (BD Pharmingen) was added to the cells. Cells were resuspended by tapping the plate and then incubated for 10 min at 4°C, and 50 μl of PBS/0.5% BSA containing fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies (see Table 2) was then added to each well followed by a 20-min incubation in the dark at 4°C. Each well was washed with 150 μl of PBS/0.5% BSA and then the plate was centrifuged. After removal of the supernatant as above, the cells in each well were resuspended in 250 μl of PBS/0.5% BSA and added to 750 μl of PBS/0.5% BSA in a 12 × 75-mm polystyrene tube for staining with 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD; cell death marker) as per the manufacturer’s recommendations (SYTOX AADvanced Dead Cell Stain, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

Data acquisition was performed on a custom FACSARia II instrument running FACSDivia acquisition software (BD Biosciences; see Table 2 for cytometer setup). Compensation was performed as previously described (15) with UltraComp eBeads (eBioscience, San Diego, CA) for the surface antibody fluorochromes, a singly stained aliquot of lung cells for the 7-AAD fluorochrome, and unstained lungs to compensate for background autofluorescence; 5 × 10⁶ events were obtained per sample and analyzed by FlowJo 7.6.5 (Tree Star, Ashland, OR) as outlined in Fig. 1. We defined cell compartments as follows: epithelial, CD326⁺/CD45⁻; endothelial, CD326⁻/CD45⁺; hematopoietic lineage, CD326⁻/CD31⁻/CD45⁺; and peritoneal lineage, CD326⁺/CD31⁻/CD45⁺ (2, 6, 8–10). Dead cells were identified by positive 7-AAD (SYTOX AADvanced) staining (12).

We sought to validate the ability of our Dispase method to measure compartment-specific cell death. To that end, we utilized a previously published model of increased pulmonary vascular permeability and harvested lung tissue 6 h after intravenous administration of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) 7.5 mg/kg or PBS, as described by our group (5). At the time of harvest, right lungs were subjected to our Dispase method and flow cytometry as above.

### Table 1. Description of methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Pulmonary Circulation</th>
<th>Lung Inflation Fluid</th>
<th>Digestion Dish Fluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Dispase</td>
<td>DNase/collagenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispase</td>
<td>Dispase</td>
<td>Dispase</td>
<td>DNase/collagenase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enzyme solutions were prepared fresh in PBS/0.5% BSA and kept on ice until use. Collagenase I (Worthington Biochemical Corporation, Lakewood, NJ) was prepared at 5 mg/ml, and DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared at 1 mg/ml. Dispase was used in undiluted form directly from the manufacturer (Corning Life Sciences, Tewksbury, MA) after freezing into aliquots and thawing on ice immediately before use.

### Table 2. Reagents and cytometer setup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Fluorochrome</th>
<th>Company, catalog number (location)</th>
<th>Volume (μl) Added to Stain</th>
<th>Laser Lines (color)</th>
<th>Emission Filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD326 (epithelial marker)</td>
<td>BV421*</td>
<td>BioLegend, no. 118225 (San Diego, CA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>405 nm (violet)</td>
<td>450/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD31 (endothelial marker)</td>
<td>PE-CF594</td>
<td>BD Horizon, no. 563616 (San Jose, CA)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>488 nm (blue)</td>
<td>610/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD45 (hematopoietic lineage marker)</td>
<td>PE-Cy7</td>
<td>BioLegend, no. 103114 (San Diego, CA)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>488 nm (blue)</td>
<td>780/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-AAD [SYTOX AADvanced] (cell permeability/death marker)</td>
<td>PerCP-Cy5.5</td>
<td>Life Technologies, no. C10427 (Carlsbad, CA)</td>
<td>1 (in 1 ml)</td>
<td>488 nm (blue)</td>
<td>695/40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7-AAD, 7-aminoactinomycin D; BV421, Brilliant Violet 421; PE, phycoerythrin; PerCP, peridinin chlorophyll protein complex. *Some experiments were performed using an allophtocyanin-Cy7-conjugated anti-CD326 antibody (BioLegend, no. 118218 [San Diego, CA]) excited by a 633-nm (red) laser and detected with a 780/60 emission filter.
Differences between groups were compared by two-tailed Mann-Whitney U-tests. Multiple group comparisons were performed by two-way ANOVA with the Sidak correction for multiple comparisons. Nonparametric data were log-transformed where indicated. Significance was determined at alpha values less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Previous reports have minced the lung following harvest and used enzymatic digestion, either a proprietary blend (2) or permutations of DNase, collagenase, and Dispase II (8), for preparation of single-cell suspensions and have noted variable rates of cellular viability depending on the cell type of interest. Similar to prior work from our group examining lung lymphocytes (15) and a common method found in the literature (3), we used enzymatic digestion with DNase and collagenase prior to filtration as a control method. We compared this control method to a method meant to maximize epithelial and endothelial cell dissociation using a Dispase pulmonary vascular flush and inflation, respectively, prior to DNase and collagenase digestion. Compared with the control method, the Dispase method generated a higher total cellular yield (Fig. 2A) and yield of epithelial, endothelial, and lineage-negative cells (Fig. 2B). Hematopoietic lineage cellular yield was similar and the frequency of each compartment as a function of total lung cells was also similar (Table 3) between methods.

We next sought to compare viability between the control and Dispase methods. 7-AAD positivity (representing dead cells) was lower among epithelial and endothelial cells with use of the Dispase method (Fig. 3A). The Dispase method also generated a higher yield of viable cells overall as well as epithelial, endothelial, and lineage-negative cells compared with the control method (Fig. 3B).

Since the Dispase method was able to generate a high yield of viable cells, we examined whether the method could be used to detect differential rates of cell death among epithelial,
DISCUSSION

Flow cytometry is a powerful modality to concurrently assess multiple parameters, but processing-related cellular damage can limit its usefulness by introducing a survival bias especially when cell death itself is a readout of interest. In this study, we explored a method to prepare a single-cell suspension from mouse lung tissue that simultaneously maximized viable yields of epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cells. Compared with a standard control method that did not use enzymes at the time of tissue harvest, we observed an increase in compartment-specific viable cellular yield using a method that flushed the pulmonary circulation and inflated the lungs with Dispase. With this method, epithelial and endothelial viable cellular yield increased by ~170 and 150%, respec-
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A METHOD TO PREPARE LUNG TISSUE FOR FLOW CYTOMETRY

Fig. 4. Dispase dissociation method applied to a systemic lipopolysaccharide (LPS) model of increased pulmonary vascular permeability. A: percent weight loss shown 6 h after injection. B–D: lung wet-to-dry ratios (B), bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid protein concentrations (C), and BAL fluid total cell counts (D). E: percent cell death (7-AAD+) overall and within each population assessed by flow cytometry following the Dispase dissociation method and normalized to PBS control; ANOVA P < 0.0001 for PBS vs. LPS; n = 4–5 per group. *P < 0.05; ‡P < 0.0001. Values reported are means ± SE.

...tively, without diminishing hematopoietic viable cellular yield compared with the control method. Thus our Dispase method represents an effective strategy to generate viable cell populations from multiple compartments.

Our Dispase method resulted in similar endothelial cell viability to Kawasaki et al. (8) in their protocol to isolate endothelial cells while preserving cellular viability in other examined cellular compartments. Our method also generated similar or higher structural cellular frequencies to those observed by Bantikassegn et al. (2) in preseparation fractions of their diffuse lung adenocarcinoma model. Although our Dispase method requires the additional steps of vascular flush and pase method facilitates flow-cytometric analysis of lung epithelial and endothelial cell death at an early time point following systemic administration of LPS. LPS caused increased pulmonary vascular permeability (increased lung edema); however, the changes at this time point appear to be a principally interstitial, rather than alveolar, injury as evidenced by the lack of cellular alveolitis and a paradoxically decreased BAL fluid protein concentration in response to LPS, as shown in these studies and previously noted by our group (5). This constellation of findings suggests a primarily endothelial rather than epithelial injury, and our flow cytometry assessment of cell death supports this hypothesis with an ~70% increase in endothelial cell death with no change in epithelial cell death. Going forward, our Dispase method could allow for identification of subtle differences in cell death due to varied experimental conditions in multiple cellular compartments and permit specific interrogation of the apoptotic pathway. Validated flow cytometry reagents to measure externalization of phosphatidylserine (fluorescent annexin V conjugates), membrane asymmetry (fluorescent ratiometric probe F2N12S), and activation of specific caspases (fluorescent substrates activated by the cysteine proteases that regulate the apoptotic pathway) by...
flow cytometry (4, 7, 12–14, 16) increase the detail to which investigators could assess apoptosis in the lung using our method.

Several limitations accompany our Disparse method. Investigators that use our protocol must be aware that enzymatic dissociation, while critical in promoting cellular viability, may cleave surface markers of interest and give the appearance of a low cellular yield. Disparse can cleave some immunologically relevant surface molecules and may need to be avoided in applications such as T cell analysis (1). Although it is possible that our digestion protocol cleaves some surface markers, we do not believe cleavage completely explains our results. For example, our data show that incorporating Disparse at the time of tissue harvest increases viable endothelial cellular yield compared with not using Disparse. Processing methods that generate a low frequency or yield of a given cellular compartment because of true cellular loss or cleavage of a surface marker should be reevaluated and optimized by trying a different enzyme solution and applying it to the cellular compartment of interest. Additionally, we show comparison data from basal conditions, and investigators will need to ensure that a chosen dissociation protocol generates acceptable cellular recovery and viability in their model system.

In summary, our Disparse method (Disparse to flush the pulmonary circulation and inflate the lung before digestion in a DNase and collagenase solution) to prepare mouse lung tissue provides a low rate of processing-associated cell death that allows for simultaneous analysis of epithelial, endothelial, and hematopoietic lineage cells by flow cytometry. Our results suggest that beginning enzymatic tissue digestion at the time of harvest limits damage inflicted during later processing steps.
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