Epithelial EGF receptor signaling mediates airway hyperreactivity and remodeling in a mouse model of chronic asthma
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ABSTRACT

Rationale: Increases in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) have been associated with the severity of airway thickening in chronic asthmatics and EGFR signaling is induced by asthma-related cytokines and inflammation. The goal of this study was to determine the role of EGFR signaling in a chronic allergic model of asthma and specifically in epithelial cells, which are increasingly recognized as playing an important role in asthma.

Methods: EGFR activation was assessed in mice treated with intranasal house dust mite (HDM) for 3 weeks. EGFR signaling was inhibited in mice treated with HDM for 6 weeks, using either the drug erlotinib or a genetic approach that utilizes transgenic mice expressing a mutant dominant negative epidermal growth factor receptor in the lung epithelium (EGFR-M mice). Airway hyperreactivity (AHR) was assessed using a flexivent system after increasing doses of nebulized methacholine. Airway smooth muscle (ASM) thickening was measured by morphometric analysis. Sensitization to HDM (IgG and IgE), inflammatory cells, and goblet cell changes were also assessed.

Results: Increased EGFR activation was detected in HDM treated mice, including in bronchiolar epithelial cells. In mice exposed to HDM for 6 weeks, AHR and ASM thickening were reduced after erlotinib treatment and in EGFR-M mice. Sensitization to HDM and inflammatory cell counts were similar in all groups, except neutrophil counts, which were lower in the EGFR-M mice. Goblet cell metaplasia with HDM treatment was reduced by erlotinib, but not in EGFR-M transgenic mice.
Conclusions: This study demonstrates that EGFR signaling, especially in the airway epithelium, plays an important role in mediating AHR and remodeling in a chronic allergic asthma model.
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INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a major public health problem and is now the most common chronic disease in children (22). Airway hyperreactivity (AHR) in asthmatics is associated with features of both airway inflammation and remodeling (29). Asthmatics have a more rapid decline in lung function with age that is believed to be due to airway remodeling (28). Airway smooth muscle (ASM) thickening is a major component of airway remodeling in asthmatics and likely contributes to the greater degree of contractile force seen in asthmatic airways (8). While the exact timing of the initiation of airway remodeling is not clear, recent data suggests that it is an early event in the disease process(9). New therapeutic targets are urgently needed to prevent or reverse airway remodeling and declining lung function in asthmatic patients.

Early genetic linkage studies in patients identified a region on chromosome 7 that contains the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene and was associated with AHR (5). More recently, CA repeat polymorphisms in intron 1 of the EGFR gene were also found to be associated with asthma (44). In addition, EGFR ligands are elevated in samples from asthma patients (2) and EGFR immunoreactivity is increased in ASM of asthmatics and correlates with disease severity (34, 35, 45). While these data suggest a potential role for EGFR in airway remodeling and dysfunction in asthma, EGFR signaling may also be important in epithelial repair following injury (3, 7, 35) and thus its role in asthma remains unclear.

Previous short-term studies in the ovalbumin (OVA)-induced allergic asthma model using EGFR inhibitors indicated a role for EGFR signaling in the early stages of the disease process (24, 39, 41, 43). However, asthma is a chronic disease with
progressive changes in lung function over time (38) and so whether EGFR signaling contributes to chronic asthma is still uncertain. Based on prior studies with EGFR inhibitors and the association of EGFR with severe airway remodeling in chronic asthmatics (34, 35, 45), we hypothesized that EGFR signaling contributes to airway dysfunction and remodeling in chronic asthma. To test this hypothesis we used a chronic allergic asthma model of mice treated for 6 weeks with house dust mite (HDM), an environmental aeroallergen that is relevant to human exposure, and erlotinib, which inhibits the tyrosine kinase activity of EGFR and is currently used to treat patients with lung cancer and pancreatic cancer (1, 20). We also performed immunostaining for phosphorylated EGFR in mice treated with HDM and detected strong staining in airway epithelial cells, as well as other cells types. Since the airway epithelium is increasingly recognized as playing an important role in asthma (3, 6, 13, 23) we also utilized lung epithelial-targeted EGFR mutant transgenic (EGFR-M) mice to examine the role of EGFR signaling in epithelial cells. Overall, our studies showed that inhibition of EGFR in a chronic allergic asthma model reduced AHR and ASM thickening and demonstrated an important role for EGFR signaling in distal epithelial cells.
METHODS and MATERIALS

Animals and treatments. Protocols for animal use were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Cincinnati Children’s Hospital. Wild-type (WT) mice were given HDM (50μg; Greer laboratories) or saline (Controls) intranasally, 3 times a week for 3 or 6 weeks. WT mice were also given erlotinib (100mg/kg, OSI Pharmaceuticals inc., Melville, NY) by gavage 6 times a week for 6 weeks, during the HDM treatment period. Control animals were given the same volume of the gavage vehicle (0.5% w/v methylcellulose; 130-180μl). To assess the role of EGFR signaling in the epithelium, transgenic mice expressing a dominant negative mutant EGFR under the control of the epithelial surfactant protein-C gene promoter (17), referred to as EGFR-M mice, were given intranasal HDM or saline 3 times a week for 6 weeks.

Airway hyperreactivity (AHR) assessments. AHR to methacholine were assessed in mice treated for 6 weeks with HDM, 48 hours after the last treatment as previously described (27). Briefly, AHR was measured utilizing a flexiVent system (SCIREQ, Montreal, QC, Canada) after ultrasonic nebulization of 1xPBS (baseline) and in response to increasing doses of methacholine (12.5, 25, and 50mg/mL; acetyl-β-methylcholine chloride, Sigma) to assess AHR.

Allergic sensitization and inflammation. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) was collected after AHR studies and inflammatory cells collected by low speed centrifugation. Total and HDM-specific IgG and IgE were measured in undiluted BALF by ELISA. ELISAs were performed using kits from BD Biosciences and following the
manufacturers instructions. For measurement of HDM-specific IgE and IgG levels, wells were coated with 0.01% HDM overnight and then the ELISA performed. Cells were from the BALF were re-suspended in red blood cell lysis buffer (Sigma), neutralized, and collected again by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended and counted using a hemocytometer to obtain total cell counts. Cell suspension was also centrifuged onto slides using a cytospin (Shandon) and cells were stained using a Kwik-Diff kit (Thermo Scientific). The number of macrophages, lymphocytes, eosinophils and neutrophils were counted in a total of 300 cells per slide. The percentage of each cell type was determined.

**Histology and immunohistochemistry.** After AHR assessments and collection of BALF, lungs were inflation-fixed, paraffin-embedded and 5μm sections were cut (27). Immunohistochemical staining was performed with the following antibodies: phosphorylated-EGFR [p-EGFR (Tyr1173) 1:62.5 dilution; Cell signaling], alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA; 1:20,000 dilution; Clone 1A4 Sigma), and chloride channel, calcium activated, family member 3 (CLCA3; 1:12,500 dilution; Abcam). Sections were also stained with hematoxylin / eosin. As well as CLCA3 (alias gob-5) immunostaining to detect mucus-producing goblet cells (30), Alcian Blue and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) histochemical staining were also performed. Pictures of the p-EGFR immunostaining of mice treated with saline (control) or HDM for 3 weeks were taken using a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope and camera. For each animal 4-5 pictures of fields (10x objective) were taken and the number of p-EGFR positive cells were analyzed using Metamorph software (v6.2; Universal Imaging / Molecular Devices). Cells that stained positive by
immunostaining for p-EGFR were counted in the epithelium of terminal bronchioles and expressed relative to the length of the epithelium (mm). Other cells that stained positive for p-EGFR in the field were also counted and expressed relative to the area of the field (mm²). To determine changes in goblet cells in the groups treated for 6 weeks, pictures were taken of all the airways (100-600μm in diameter) in three sections from the lung of each mouse. Goblet cells were identified by CLCA3 positive staining and counted. The length of the basement membrane of each airway was measured (mm) using Metamorph and goblet cell counts for that airway were corrected to this length (31). Both p-EGFR positive cells and goblet cells were counted by an observer blinded to the identity of the slides.

Western Blot analysis. Western blot analysis was performed on lung homogenates and antibodies were used to detect CLCA3 (1:2,000; Abcam) and pan-actin (1:20,000; C4 Seven Hills Bioreagents). Secondary antibodies were either goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse (1:10,000; Calbiochem). Chemiluminescence was detected using ECL Plus (GE Healthcare) and images were obtained using an LAS4000 imaging system and quantified using MultiGauge software (Fujifilm).

Airway smooth muscle (ASM) measurement. To assess changes in ASM in bronchioles the cross-sectional area of ASM and internal perimeter of the airway were measured (25, 27). Measurements were performed by an observer blinded to the identity of the slides. The square root of ASM area was corrected to internal perimeter, as previously described (25, 27)
Statistical analysis. Data analyses were performed using the Prism 4 software (GraphPad Software). Unpaired t-tests were used to make statistical comparisons, and $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Values reported are the mean ± standard error of the mean.
RESULTS

EGFR activation in HDM-induced asthma model. Immunostaining for p-EGFR detected increases in EGFR activation in mice treated with HDM for 3 weeks, including increased p-EGFR staining in bronchiolar epithelial cells (3 fold; P<0.05), as well as other cells (2.5-fold; P<0.05)(Figures 1A and 1B).

Inflammation in chronic allergic asthma model with EGFR inhibition. Total IgG1 and IgE were similarly increased in all mouse groups treated with HDM for 6 weeks (Figure 2A). Total IgG1 and IgE levels were lower in BALF from saline treated EGFR-M mice compared to saline treated WT mice. However in response to HDM, IgG1 and IgE levels in EGFR-M mice increased and were similar to HDM treated WT mice. HDM specific IgG1 increased similarly in all HDM treated groups (Figure 2A). HDM specific IgE increased in HDM treated WT and EGFR-M groups, but did not reach significance in the HDM erlotinib treated group, although total IgE was increased in this group (Figure 2A). Total inflammatory cell counts in BALF (Figure 2B), as well as eosinophils (Figure 2C), were increased similarly in all HDM treated groups. Neutrophils were increased in all HDM treated groups, but to a much lesser extent in the EGFR-M mice (Figure 2C).

AHR and ASM thickening in chronic allergic asthma model are attenuated by EGFR inhibition. All HDM treated groups demonstrated increased AHR to methacholine relative to controls (Figure 3A). However, AHR was lower in both the erlotinib treated and the EGFR-M groups relative to HDM treated WT mice. No
difference in AHR was detected in saline treated EGFR-M mice compared to saline treated WT controls. ASM area was measured, following immunostaining for smooth muscle α-actin (see Figure E1 online), and corrected to the internal perimeter of the airway (Figure 3B). No difference in ASM area was detected between saline-treated WT and EGFR-M mice so the data from these two groups was pooled. WT mice treated with HDM showed increased ASM area relative to saline treated controls, whereas ASM area in HDM treated WT mice that received erlotinib and HDM treated EGFR-M mice were not significantly different from saline treated controls.

**Goblet cell metaplasia in chronic allergic asthma model is attenuated by EGFR inhibition.** Immunostaining for CLCA3 and Alcian blue staining detected abundant goblet cells in the airways of all groups treated with HDM for 6 weeks, particularly in the large conducting airways (Figure 4A). Only occasional goblet cells were observed in the saline treated mice. Immunostaining and western blot analysis of CLCA3 demonstrated that goblet cell induction was lower in HDM mice that received erlotinib, but similar in HDM treated EGFR-M mice, compared to HDM treated WT controls (Figure 4B; see Figure E2 online).
DISCUSSION

In this study, we detected increases in phosphorylated EGFR in bronchiolar epithelial cells of mice treated with the aeroallergen HDM. Treatment with erlotinib, an EGFR inhibitor, reduced AHR, ASM thickening and goblet cell metaplasia in mice exposed to chronic HDM. Increases in AHR and ASM with HDM exposure were especially attenuated in mice expressing a mutant form of EGFR in epithelial cells. Hence, both pharmacologic and genetic inhibition of EGFR signaling attenuated allergen-induced increases in AHR and ASM. While increases in ASM in mice treated with HDM for 6 weeks were not severe, they did correlate very well with increases in AHR and also reductions in AHR with EGFR inhibition. Whether reductions in AHR with EGFR inhibition are solely due to the prevention of ASM thickening is not clear as AHR can also occur in association with inflammation prior to airway remodeling. The converse is also true, and previous studies by our group using transforming growth factor-alpha (TGF-α) transgenic mice (on an Egr-1 null background), in which EGFR signaling is chronically increased, showed that severe AHR occurred in conjunction with ASM thickening but in the absence of detectable inflammation (27).

Previous studies have examined the role of EGFR in short-term asthma models using pharmacologic inhibitors. AG-1478, an early EGFR inhibitor, has been used in mice challenged with ovalbumin (OVA), leukotriene, interleukin-13 (IL-13), and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 for 2-3 days (41, 43). AG-1478 treatment reduced AHR, goblet cell metaplasia, airway collagen deposition, and eosinophil influx. In OVA treated rats, AG-1478 also reduced ASM and epithelial cell hyperplasia as well as goblet cells after 3 challenges over 12 days (41). Another study used the oral EGFR
inhibitor gefitinib, which also inhibited goblet cell metaplasia and AHR in mice after two OVA challenges (over 5 days following i.p. sensitization to OVA), as well as attenuating eosinophil recruitment and pro-inflammatory cytokine levels (IL-4 and IL-13)(24). Takeyama and colleagues showed that another EGFR inhibitor BIBX1522, prevented goblet cell induction by tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and EGFR ligands, as well as OVA in rats (39). For this study, TNF-α and EGFR ligands were given over 1-3 days before the mice were sacrificed and studied. The OVA study was also short-term with 3 OVA challenges performed over 6 days. This study also reported increases in EGFR in airway epithelial cells with TNF-α treatment that was coupled to increased mucus production. In our study, erlotinib treatment also reduced goblet cell increases in HDM-exposed mice, consistent with the previous studies identifying an important role for EGFR signaling in epithelial cell hyperplasia and goblet cell metaplasia both in vitro and in vivo (3, 4, 32, 39, 40, 42). EGFR signaling has been suggested to be important for epithelial repair following injury (3, 7, 35). However, a recent in vitro study reported that the EGFR inhibitor AG-1478 reduced HDM-triggered decreases in epithelial resistance and improved restoration of epithelial junctions (21). Similarly, EGFR inhibition increased epithelial barrier recovery upon electroporation-induced injury (21).

For this study we generated a chronic model by treating with the allergen HDM for 6 weeks. While this model may not necessarily reflect a lifetime of disease, it did allow us to examine the role of EGFR in a more chronic model than that used in previous studies. Two approaches were utilized to assess the role of EGFR: A pharmacologic inhibitor, erlotinib, that is approved for treatment of patients with lung and pancreatic cancer (1), and a genetic approach, using transgenic mice expressing a
mutant EGFR receptor (17). This transgenic mouse model allowed us to specifically examine the role of EGFR signaling in distal epithelial cells, since the mice express a truncated EGFR mutant transgene targeted to epithelial cells in bronchioles and type II cells. The mutant receptor can still bind EGFR ligands but lacks the intracellular signaling domain (17) and so fails to induce intracellular signaling and may also form dimers with wild type EGFR and inhibit their signaling. The human SP-C promoter region used to target the mutant EGFR to the lungs of the transgenic mice drives gene expression both in type II alveolar epithelial cells and in bronchiolar epithelial cells, but not epithelial cells in the large conducting airways in adult mice (10-12, 21, 37).

Determining the role of EGFR signaling in epithelial cells in vivo was an important goal of our study as an emerging body of information suggests that epithelial cells play a major role in airway dysfunction (3, 6, 13, 23), both as a respondent to and mediator/modulator of allergic and inflammatory stimuli. AHR and ASM thickening were very attenuated in the EGFR mutant mice, indicating an important role for EGFR signaling in epithelial cells in this chronic asthma model. Although EGFR signaling through other cell types could also be playing a role, since increased AHR was still detected in the HDM-treated EGFR-M mice although only at the highest dose of methacholine. EGFR-M mice showed smaller increases in neutrophils (in BALF) with HDM treatment and saline treated control EGFR-M mice had lower total IgG1 and IgE levels compared to controls. EGFR can regulate production of the neutrophil chemoattractant IL-8 by epithelial cells in response to RSV infection, cigarette smoke, bacterial LPS/TACE activation, and reactive oxygen species (14, 32, 33, 36). Despite smaller increases in neutrophil influx in HDM-treated EGFR-M mice, eosinophil influx was similar, as was total IgG1, IgE and
HDM-specific IgG1. In the studies mentioned earlier using the OVA model, EGFR inhibitor treatment was also associated with significant reductions in inflammatory cell numbers, including eosinophils (24, 43). These results are different from our study where we did not detect any major changes in eosinophils with EGFR inhibition in the chronic HDM model. This may be due to differences between the role of EGFR signaling in the OVA model, or the fact that the OVA studies were of shorter duration (3-12 days) than our study that was 6 weeks long. Another possible source of differences in the inflammatory cell counts is that inflammatory cell influx can be different depending on the timing of the BALF collection after the last allergen treatment and we only performed BALF cell counts at one time point. In addition, different mouse strains (BP2 and BALB/c) as well as rats were used in prior studies (24, 39, 41, 43), whereas our studies were all performed in FVB/N strain mice since that was the genetic background for the EGFR-M transgenic mice.

Inflammatory pathways can lead to activation of EGFR signaling (3, 7). Production and release of EGFR ligands can be triggered by factors known to play important roles in the etiology of asthma, including allergens, pro-inflammatory cytokines, environmental tobacco smoke, and virus infection (26, 43), supporting the concept that the EGFR pathway may act downstream of inflammatory pathways. Consistent with this, this study shows increases in EGFR phosphorylation in mice treated with HDM, including in bronchial epithelial cells. Transgenic mice in which the EGFR pathway was activated by chronic overexpression of TGF-α develop pulmonary fibrosis (15, 16, 18, 19). However, TGF-α transgenic mice null for the transcription factor
Egr-1 also develop severe ASM and AHR, which interestingly occurs in the absence of any inflammatory changes (27).

In conclusion, this study shows that in adult mice inhalation of the allergen HDM increases EGFR activation. Our data demonstrate an important role for EGFR signaling, particularly in epithelial cells, in mediating the AHR and remodeling that develop as a result of chronic allergen treatment.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. EGFR activation in lungs of mice treated with HDM. Panel A: Immunostaining was performed using a phosphorylated EGFR (Tyr1173) specific antibody on mice treated for 3 weeks with intranasal house dust mite (HDM; n=5) or the same volume of saline (saline; n=4). Three lung sections per animal were examined and pictures shown are representative. Weak staining for phosphorylated EGFR was detected in occasional bronchial epithelial cells (arrow) and scattered cells in the alveolar regions in the control mice. In HDM treated mice staining for phosphorylated EGFR was increased, particularly in bronchial (see high power inset, solid arrows) and alveolar epithelial cells and in inflammatory cells around vessels (open arrow) and airways. Bar = 100μm. Panel B: Cells staining positively for phosphorylated EGFR were counted in the bronchiolar epithelium and normalized to the length of the epithelium. Cells staining in other areas were also counted and normalized to the area of the picture field.

Figure 2. Allergic sensitization and inflammatory response to chronic HDM is unaltered with EGFR inhibition. Panel A: Sensitization was assessed by measurement of total IgG1, total IgE, and HDM-specific IgG1 and IgE in BALF from mice treated with intranasal HDM or saline for 6 weeks. HDM-treated groups also included wild type (WT) mice, erlotinib treated WT mice and EGFR-M transgenic mice. Increases in total IgG1, total IgE, and HDM-specific IgG1 were similar in all HDM-treated groups. HDM-specific IgE was increased in HDM-treated WT mice and EGFR-M
mice, but not the erlotinib treated group; * P<0.05 versus saline control group; + P<0.05 versus WT saline. Data were derived from 5-13 animals per group. **Panel B:** Total numbers of cells were counted in BALF collected from mice treated with HDM or saline for 6 weeks. Cell counts were not different between saline treated WT mice and EGFR-M mice. HDM-treated groups all showed similar increases in total cell counts, indicating that EGFR inhibition does not alter inflammatory cell influx overall. * P<0.05 versus saline control group. Data were derived from 5-13 animals per group. **Panel C:** Cytospins, differential cell stains, and counts were performed on HDM and saline treated groups to determine percent changes in inflammatory cell types. Macrophages were reduced similarly in all HDM-treated groups as the percentage of neutrophils and eosinophils increased; * P<0.05 versus saline control group; # P <0.05 versus WT HDM. Data were derived from 4-13 animals per group. EGFR inhibition did not alter BALF differential inflammatory cell counts with chronic HDM treatment, although increases in neutrophils in the EGFR-M mice were not as high in the other HDM-treated groups.

**Figure 3: EGFR inhibition reduces airway hyperreactivity and airway smooth muscle thickening induced by chronic HDM treatment.** **Panel A:** Airway resistance was measured at baseline and in response to increasing doses of nebulized methacholine in anesthetized mice placed on a flexiVent system. Mice were treated with saline (control) or HDM for 6 weeks before assessment of lung mechanics. Saline control groups included WT mice and EGFR-M mice. HDM treated mice all showed increased airway resistance with 50mg/ml methacholine. However, increases in airway
resistance in response to methacholine were lower in mice treated with erlotinib and also EGFR-M mice treated with HDM; * P<0.05 versus saline control group; # P <0.05 versus WT HDM. Data were derived from 5-13 animals per group. Panel B: Airway smooth muscle area was measured following HDM or saline treatment for 6 weeks. See Figure E1 online for representative images of the α-smooth muscle actin immunostaining. HDM treated WT mice had increased airway smooth muscle area. Erlotinib treated and EGFR-M mice treated with HDM failed to show significant increases in airway smooth muscle area; * P<0.05 versus saline control group; < P = not significantly different from saline control. Data were derived from 6-10 animals per group.

Figure 4. EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor attenuates goblet cell metaplasia in HDM-induced lung disease. Panel A: Goblet cells were detected in lung sections from mice treated with HDM or saline for 6 weeks. Upper panels show immunohistochemical staining for CLCA3 in goblet cells (see high power inset, brown arrows). Middle panels show Alcian blue histochemical staining that detects mucopolysaccharides in goblet cells (blue arrows). Increases in goblet cell markers CLCA3 and Alcian blue staining with chronic HDM treatment were reduced by treatment with the EGFR inhibitor erlotinib. Lower panels show periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) histochemical staining (red arrows). Bar = 100μm. Panel B: Goblet cells identified by CLCA3 immunostaining were counted in 100-600μm airways and normalized to the length of the basement membrane (mm). Data are from 5-7 animals per group. * P<0.05 versus WT saline control group; # P <0.05 versus WT HDM.
SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure E1. Representative images of smooth muscle $\alpha$-actin immunostaining of medium-sized airways (a) and associated vessels (v). Note that airway smooth muscle (ASM) is discontinuous in saline control, but continuous around the airway in the WT mice treated with HDM. ASM in both erlotinib treated and the EGFR-M mice treated with HDM is similar to saline control. Bar = 100$\mu$m. Quantitative analysis of ASM area is presented in Figure 3B.

Figure E2. Western blots of CLCA3 protein in lung homogenates from saline control, HDM treated WT mice, HDM treated mice that also received erlotinib to block EGFR, and EGFR-M mice treated with HDM. Actin was also detected to control for the amount of protein loaded onto the gel and transferred to the blot. After densitometry and normalization of the CLCA3 levels to actin, these data were used to generate the histogram.
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